arXiv:1501.05896v1 [math.PR] 23 Jan 2015

Reflected backward stochastic differential equations with jumps in time-dependent random convex domains

Imade Fakhouri* †, Youssef Ouknine *‡ and Yong Ren§ ¶

January 26, 2015

Abstract

In this paper, we study a class of multi-dimensional reflected backward stochastic differential equations when the noise is driven by a Brownian motion and an independent Poisson point process, and when the solution is forced to stay in a time-dependent adapted and continuous convex domain $\mathcal{D} = \{D_t, t \in [0,T]\}$. We prove the existence an uniqueness of the solution, and we also show that the solution of such equations may be approximated by backward stochastic differential equations with jumps reflected in appropriately defined discretizations of $\mathcal{D}$, via a penalization method.

Keywords: Reflected backward stochastic differential equation; Poisson point process; Time–dependent convex domain; Penalization method.

AMS Classification subjects: 60H10; 60H20.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider multidimensional reflected backward stochastic differential equations (RBSDEs for short) of Wiener– Poisson type (i.e whose noise is driven by a Brownian motion and an independent Poisson point process) in time–dependent, random and continuous convex domains. RBSDEs in the

---

[*] Cadi Ayyad University, Faculty of Sciences Semlalia, LIBMA, Department of Mathematics, B.P. 2390, Marrakesh, 40.000, Morocco.

[†] This author is supported by the CNRST "Centre National pour la Recherche Scientifique et Technique", grant $N°G03/017$, Rabat, Morocco.
E-mail: imadefakhouri@gmail.com

[‡] This research is supported by the Hassan II Academy of Sciences and Technology, Morocco.
E-mail: ouknine@uca.ma

[§] Department of Mathematics, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu 24100, China.
E-mails: renyong@126.com and brightry@hotmail.com

[¶] The work of Yong Ren is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10371029).

case of fixed convex domains were for the first time studied in [3]. Actually, the authors study multi–dimensional RBSDEs in the case of fixed convex domain $\mathcal{C} = \{C_t, t \in [0,T]\}$, of the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s)\, ds - \int_t^T Z_s\, dW_s + K_T - K_t, \quad t \in [0,T],\ a.s.,\\
&Y_t \in D_t \quad \text{for } t \in [0,T] \text{ a.s.,} \qquad\qquad (1.1)\\
&\int_0^T (Y_t - X_t)\, dK_t \leq 0,\ \text{for any continuous progressively–measurable process } X_t \text{ in } \mathcal{C},
\end{aligned}
$$

where K_t is continuous, increasing and of bounded total variation $|K|$ satisfying $K_0 = 0$. The last condition insures that K is minimal in the sense that it increases only when Y is at the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$. In fact, the process K is inward normal to $\mathcal{C}$ at Y, precisely $K_t = \int_0^t \eta_s d|K|_s$ such that $\eta_s \in \mathcal{N}(Y_s)$ and where $\mathcal{N}(Y_s)$ is the inward normal unit vector to $\mathcal{C}$ at Y_s. Actually, when Y is at the boundary it is pushed into the domain along $\eta \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$. The authors provide existence and uniqueness for such RBSDEs via a penalization method. Later, [12] extended the result of [3] to the case of jumps (i.e. whose noise includes a Poisson random measure part). The author studied RBSDE of Wiener–Poisson type in fixed convex domain $\mathcal{C}$, for which he established existence and uniqueness using a penalization method. They considered RBSDEs of the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, V_s)\, ds - \int_t^T Z_s\, dW_s - \int_t^T \int_U V_s(e)\nu(de, ds) + K_T - K_t, \quad t \in [0,T],\ a.s.,\\
&Y_t \in C_t \quad \text{for } t \in [0,T] \text{ a.s.,} \qquad\qquad (1.2)\\
&\int_0^T \langle Y_s - X_s, dK_s\rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for every adapted càdlàg process } X\ s.t.\ X_t \in D_t,
\end{aligned}
$$

such that K is an absolutely continuous process of bounded variation $|K|$, verifying $K_0 = 0$ and increasing only when $Y_t \in \partial C_t$. Note that the works of [3] and [12] are inspired by the theory for reflected stochastic differential equations, see [10] and [14]. Recently, in [8] the authors generalized the results of [3] to the case of time–dependent, random convex domains and at the same time extended to the multidimensional case some one dimensional results for continous or discontinous barriers satisfying the so–called Mokobodski's condition (see [1] for its definition). More precisely, the authors considered RBSDEs of type (1.1), but in the case of time–dependent, adapted and càdlàg convex domains with respect to the Hausdorff metric, for which existence, uniqueness and approximation results are provided. After this brief outline on the literature, we will now describe precisely the problem investigated in this paper. Motivated by these works, we consider multidimensional RBSDEs of wiener–poisson type in time–dependent convex random domains. In fact, we study RBSDEs of type (1.2) but in the case of time–dependent, adapted and continuous closed convex domains $\{\mathcal{D} = D_t, t \in [0,T]\}$. In this work, we mainly show the existence and uniqueness of RBSDE with jumps of type (1.2) in $\mathcal{D}$ under the following assumptions made on ξ, f and $\mathcal{D}$:

- a terminal value $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d) \in D_T$, which is a square integrable random variable,
- a coefficient $f(t; \omega, y, z, v)$ which is a progressively measurable function, uniformly Lipschitz w.r.t. (y, z, v),
- $t \to D_t$ is adapted and continuous with respect to the Hausdorff metric,
- we can find a semi–martingale $A = (A_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ of the class $\mathcal{B}^2$ (for the definition see Section 2) such that $A_t \in IntD_t$ for $t \in [0, T]$ and $\inf_{t \leq T} \mathrm{dist}(A_t, \partial D_t) > 0$.

This last condition is assumed similarly as in [8], moreover note that it is an analogue of the Mokobodski's condition. In the proof of the existence, as in [8] we approximate the domain $\mathcal{D}$ by piecewise constant time–dependent domains $\mathcal{D}^j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{D}^j \to \mathcal{D}$ in the Hausdorff metric uniformly in probability as $j \to \infty$. Then we prove that each random interval on which $\mathcal{D}^j$ is a constant random set there exists a unique solution of some local RBSDE. Piecing the local solutions together we obtain a solution (Y^j, Z^j, V^j, K^j) of RBSDE (1.2) in $\mathcal{D}^j$. Finally we show that the sequence $\{(Y^j, Z^j, V^j, K^j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges as $j \to \infty$ to (Y, Z, V, K) solution of RBSDE (1.2) in $\mathcal{D}$.
We also approximate (Y, Z, V, K) solution of RBSDE (1.2) in $\mathcal{D}$, by backward stochastic differential equations with jumps reflected in an appropriately dicretizations of $\mathcal{D}$ by using the penalization method.

In fact our paper generalize on the one hand the results of [3] as well as [8] to the case of jumps when the domain is time–dependent random and continuous. On the other hand it extends also the work of [12] to the case of time–dependent random domains. Furthermore, our work generalizes also to the multi-dimensional case, results on one-dimensional RBSDEs with jumps with time dependent continuous barriers assuming the Mokobodski's condition which is considered up to now only in the one-dimensional case (see e.g. [4, 5, 6], and the references therein).

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains the setting of the problem and assumptions. Moreover, we give an Itô–Tanaka formula for càdlàg processes and the function $x \to |x|^q$ such that $q \in (1, 2]$ which is not smooth enough. This result is an extension of [2, Lemma 2.2], [9, Lemma 7] and [7, Proposition 2.1] to our framework. In Section 3 we show the existence and uniqueness of the solution of RBSDE with jumps in $\mathcal{D}$. Finally, Section 4 is devoted to the approximation of the solution by a Penalization method.

2 Setting of the problem and assumptions

Throughout this paper $T > 0$ is a fixed time horizon, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \leq T}, \mathbb{P}, W_t, \nu_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$ is a complete Wiener-Poisson space in $I\!R^d \times I\!R^n \backslash \{0\}$, with Lévy measure λ, i.e., $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a complete probability space, $(\mathcal{F}_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$ is a right continuous increasing family of complete sub σ- algebras of $\mathcal{F}$,

$(W_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$ is a standard Wiener process in $I\!R^d$ with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$, and $(\nu_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$ is a martingale measure in $I\!R^n \backslash \{0\}$ independent of $(W_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$, corresponding to a standard Poisson random measure $p(t, A)$. In fact, for any Borel measurable subset of $I\!R^n \backslash \{0\}$ such that $\lambda(A) < \infty$, we have:

$$\nu_t(A) = p(t, A) - \lambda(A),$$

where $p(t, A)$ satisfies that

$$\mathbb{E}(p(t, A)) = t\lambda(A).$$

$\lambda(A)$ is supposed to be a σ-finite measure on $I\!R^m \backslash \{0\}$ with its Borel field, satisfying that

$$\int_{I\!R^n \backslash \{0\}} (1 \wedge |x|^2)\lambda(dx) < \infty.$$

From now on, U denotes $I\!R^n \backslash \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ its Borel field. Moreover, we assume that

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\left(\int\int_{A \times [0,s]} p(ds, dx) : s \leq t, A \in \mathcal{U}\right) \vee \sigma(W_s, s \leq t) \vee \mathcal{N},$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ denotes the totality of the $\mathbb{P}$-null sets of $\mathcal{F}$, and for two given σ-fields σ_1 and σ_2, $\sigma_1 \vee \sigma_2$ denotes the σ-field generated by $\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$. All the measurability notions will refer to the above filtration.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the σ–algebra of predictable sets on $\Omega \times [0, T]$, and let us introduce the following spaces of processes:

- $\mathcal{S}$ (respectively $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{c}}$): the space of of $I\!R^m$–valued, $\mathcal{F}_t$–adapted and càdlàg (respectively continuous) processes equipped with the metric

$$\rho(Y, Y') = \mathbb{E}\left[\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} |Y_t - Y'_t|^2\Big) \wedge 1\right].$$

- $\mathcal{M}$: the space of $I\!R^{m\times d}$–valued, $\mathcal{F}$–progressively measurable processes $(Z_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ such that $\int_0^T \|Z_s\|^2 ds < \infty$ $\mathbb{P}$–a.s, and equipped with the metric

$$\theta(Z, Z') = \mathbb{E}\left[\Big(\int_0^T \|Z_s - Z'_s\|^2 ds\Big) \wedge 1\right].$$

- $\mathcal{L}$: the set of mappings $V : \Omega \times [0, T] \times U \to I\!R^m$ which are $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{U}$–measurable, such that $\int_0^T \int_U |V_s(e)|^2 \lambda(de)ds < \infty$ $\mathbb{P}$–a.s, and equipped with the metric

$$\varpi(V, V') = E\left[\Big(\int_0^T \int_U |V_s(e) - V'_s(e)|^2 \lambda(de)ds\Big) \wedge 1\right].$$

- L^2: the space of $\mathbb{R}^m$–valued processes ξ , such that

$$||\xi||_{L^2} := E\left[|\xi|^2\right]^{1/2} < +\infty.$$

- $\mathcal{S}^2$ (respectively $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbf{c}}$): the space of $\mathbb{R}^m$–valued, $\mathcal{F}_t$–adapted and càdlàg (respectively continuous) processes $(Y_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ such that

$$||Y||_{\mathcal{S}^2} := E\left[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|Y_t|^2\right]^{1/2} < +\infty.$$

- $\mathcal{A}^2$: is the subspace of $\mathcal{S}^2_c$ of non-decreasing processes null at $t=0$.

- $\mathcal{M}^{d,2}$: the set of $\mathbb{R}^{m\times d}$–valued, $\mathcal{F}$–progressively measurable processes $(Z_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ such that

$$||Z||_{\mathcal{M}^{d,2}} := E\left[\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds\right]^{1/2} < +\infty.$$

- $\mathcal{L}^2$: the set of mappings $V : \Omega\times[0,T]\times U \to I\!\!R^m$ which are $\mathcal{P}\otimes\mathcal{U}$–measurable, such that

$$||V(e)||_{\mathcal{L}^2} := E\left[\int_0^T\int_U |V_s(e)|^2\lambda(de)ds\right]^{1/2} < +\infty.$$

- $\mathcal{C}$: the space of all bounded closed convex subsets of $I\!\!R^m$ with nonempty interiors endowed with the Hausdorff metric δ, i.e. any $H, H'\in\mathcal{C}$,

$$\delta(H,H') = \max\left(\sup_{x\in H}\operatorname{dist}(x,H'), \sup_{x\in H'}\operatorname{dist}(x,H)\right), \tag{2.1}$$

  where $\operatorname{dist}(x,H) = \inf_{y\in H}|x-y|$.

- $\mathcal{B}^2$: the space of m–dimensional semimartingales X which has the following canonical decomposition $X = M + B$. This space is equipped with the following norm

$$||X||_{\mathcal{B}^2} = ||[M]_T^{\frac{1}{2}}||_{L^2} + |||B|_T||_{L^2},$$

  where $[M]_T$ is the quadratic variation of M at T and $|B|_T$ is the variation of B on the interval $[0,T]$.

Let D be a time-dependent convex domain (D_t is convex for every $t\in[0,T]$) with non empty interior. Let $\mathcal{N}_y$ denote the set of inward normal unit vectors at $y\in\partial D$. It is well known that $\mathbf{n}\in\mathcal{N}_y$ iff

$\langle y-x,\mathbf{n}\rangle \le 0$. Let $\Pi_D(x)$ denote the projection on D of $x \in I\!\!R^d$.
Next, we will summarize some properties on convex domains that will be used along the paper:

Lemma 2.1 (see [11]) (a) *Let $y \in \partial D$, for every $x \in D$, it holds that*

$$\alpha \in \mathcal{N}_y \textit{ if and only if } \langle y-x,\alpha\rangle \le 0.$$

(b) *If moreover $a \in \mathrm{Int}D$ then for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{N}_y$, it holds that*

$$\langle y-a,\alpha\rangle \le -\mathrm{dist}(a,\partial D).$$

(c) *If $\mathrm{dist}(x,D) > 0$ then there exists a unique $y = \Pi_D(x) \in \partial G$ such that $|y-x| = \mathrm{dist}(x,D)$. We can observe that $(y-x)/|y-x| \in \mathcal{N}_y$. Moreover, for every $a \in \mathrm{Int}D$, it holds that*

$$\langle x-a,y-x\rangle \le -\mathrm{dist}(a,\partial D)|y-x|.$$

(d) *For all $x,x' \in I\!\!R^m$, it holds that*

$$\langle x-x',(x-\Pi_D(x))-(x'-\Pi_D(x'))\rangle \ge 0.$$

Now, we state a result on the class of semimartingales defined above.

Lemma 2.2 (see [13]) *(a) For a special semimartingale X,*

$$\|X\|_{\mathcal{B}^2} \le 3 \sup_{H \text{ predictable}, |H|\le 1} \left\| \sup_{0\le r\le T} \left| \int_0^r H_s\, dX_s \right| \right\|_{L^2} \le 9\|X\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}. \tag{2.2}$$

(b) $\|X\|_{\mathcal{S}^2} \le c\|X\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}$ and $\|[X]_T^{1/2}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \le \|X\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}$. Moreover, for any predictable and locally bounded H,

$$\left\| \int_0^{\cdot} H_s\, dX_s \right\|_{\mathcal{B}^2} \le \|H\|_{S^2}\|X\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}. \tag{2.3}$$

In this paper, we aim to study existence and uniqueness of solutions to RBSDEs with jumps in time dependent convex domains. Next, let us give the notion of such RBSDE.
A RBSDE with jumps in time dependent convex domains is characterised by the following objects:

- A family $\mathcal{D} = \{D_t;\, t \in [0,T]\}$ of time-dependent random closed convex subsets of $I\!\!R^m$ with nonempty interiors, such that the process $[0,T]\ni t \mapsto D_t \in \mathcal{C}$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$–adapted.
- An m–dimensional terminal value ξ which is $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable and takes values in D_T.

- A driver function $f : [0,T] \times \Omega \times I\!\!R^m \times I\!\!R^{m\times d} \times L^2(U,\mathcal{U},\lambda; I\!\!R^d) \to I\!\!R^m$ which is $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}(I\!\!R^m) \otimes \mathcal{B}(I\!\!R^{m\times d}) \otimes \mathcal{U}$–measurable.

A solution to the corresponding RBSDE with jumps in $\mathcal{D}$ is a quadruple $(Y_t, Z_t, V_t, K_t)_{0\le t\le T} \in \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{M}^{d,2} \times \mathcal{L}^2 \times \mathcal{A}^2$ satisfying that

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, V_s)\, ds - \int_t^T Z_s\, dW_s \\ &\quad - \int_t^T \int_U V_s(e)\nu(de, ds) + K_T - K_t, \quad t \in [0,T],\ a.s., \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

(ii) $Y_t \in D_t$, $t \in [0,T]$, a.s.,

(iii) K is a process of locally bounded variation $|K|$ increasing only when $Y_t \in \partial D_t$, and for every $\mathcal{F}_t$–adapted càdlàg process X such that $X_t \in D_t$, $t \in [0,T]$, it holds that

$$\int_0^T \langle Y_s - X_s, dK_s \rangle \le 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Assumptions
In the paper, we will assume the following assumptions.

(H1) $\xi \in D_T$, $\xi \in L^2$.

(H2) $E \int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0)|^2\, ds < \infty$.

(H3) For some $C \ge 0$ and all $y, y' \in I\!\!R^m$, $z, z' \in I\!\!R^{m\times d}$, $v, v' \in \mathcal{L}^2$ and $t \in [0,T]$, we have

$$|f(t,y,z,v) - f(t,y,z',v')| \le C(|y - y'| + \|z - z'\| + |v - v'|).$$

(H4) For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the mapping $t \to D_t \cap B(0,N) \in \mathcal{C}$ is continuous P-a.s., and there is a semimartingale $A = (A_t)_{0\le t\le T} \in \mathcal{B}^2$ such that $A_t \in \mathrm{Int} D_t$ for $t \in [0,T]$ and

$$\inf_{t\le T} \mathrm{dist}(A_t, \partial D_t) > 0.$$

■

In the proof of the existence, we will use the method of penalization, where the approximation of the domain $\mathcal{D}$ is done by discrete time-dependent process described below in Lemma 2.4. As explained in

Lemma 2.4, studying existence and uniqueness of RBSDE (2.4) turns out to studying existence and uniqueness of solutions of local RBSDEs on random intervals in discrete time-dependent domains. First let us make precise the notion of local RBSDEs.

Definition 2.3 Let τ and σ be two stopping times such that $0 \le \tau \le \sigma \le T$. We say that a quadruple $(Y, Z, V, K - K_\tau)$ of $\mathcal{F}_t$-progressively measurable processes on $[\tau, \sigma]$ is a solution of the following local RBSDE on $[\tau, \sigma]$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \zeta + \int_t^\sigma f(s, Y_s, Z_s, V_s)\, ds - \int_t^\sigma Z_s\, dW_s \\ &\quad + K_\sigma - K_t - \int_t^\sigma \int_U V_s(e)\nu(de, ds), \quad t \in [\tau, \sigma], \text{a.s.}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

if moreover it satisfies that

(a) $Y_t \in D_t$, $t \in [\tau, \sigma]$,

(b) $K_\tau = 0$, K is a continuous process of locally bounded variation $|K|_\tau^\sigma$ on the interval $[\tau, \sigma]$ such that $\int_\tau^\sigma \langle Y_s - X_s, dK_s \rangle \le 0$ for every $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted càdlàg process X with values in D.

We will assume that

(H1*) $\zeta \in D$, $\zeta \in L^2$,

(H2*) $E \int_\tau^\sigma |f(s, 0, 0, 0)|^2\, ds < \infty$,

(H3*) For some $C \ge 0$ and all $y, y' \in I\!\!R^m$, $z, z' \in I\!\!R^{m \times d}$, $v, v' \in \mathcal{L}^2$ and $t \in [\tau, \sigma]$, we have

$$|f(t, y, z, v) - f(t, y, z', v')| \le C(|y - y'| + \|z - z'\| + |v - v'|).$$

(H4*) There is an $\mathcal{F}_\tau$-measurable random variable $A \in L^2$ such that $A \in \text{Int}D$. ■

Now, we propose Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.4 *Let $\sigma_0 = 0 \le \sigma_1 \le \ldots \le \sigma_{k+1} = T$ be stopping times and let $D^0, D^1, \ldots, D^k$ be random closed convex subsets of $I\!\!R^m$ with nonempty interiors such that D^i is $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma_i}$-measurable. Let (Y, Z, V, K) be a quadruple of $\mathcal{F}_t$–progressively measurable processes such that*

(a) *$\xi = Y_T \in D^k$, K is a continuous process of locally bounded variation such that $K_0 = 0$,*

(b) *on each interval $[\sigma_{i-1}, \sigma_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, k+1$, we have*

$$Y_t = \Pi_{D^{i-1}}(Y_{\sigma_i}) + \int_t^{\sigma_i} f(s, Y_s, Z_s, V_s)\, ds - \int_t^{\sigma_i} Z_s\, dW_s - \int_t^{\sigma_i} \int_U V_s(e)\nu(de, ds) + K_{\sigma_i} - K_t, \tag{2.7}$$

where $Y_t \in D^{i-1}$*,*

(c) $\int_{[\sigma_{i-1},\sigma_i)} \langle Y_s - X_s\,, dK_s\rangle \leq 0$ *for every* $\mathcal{F}_t$*–adapted càdlàg process* X *such that* $X_t \in D^{i-1}$ *for* $t \in [\sigma_{i-1}, \sigma_i)$*.*

Then, (Y, Z, V, K) *is the unique solution of* (2.4) *with terminal value* ξ *and* $\{D_t;\, t \in [0,T]\}$ *such that* $D_t = D^{i-1}$*,* $t \in [\sigma_{i-1}, \sigma_i)$*,* $i = 1, \ldots, k+1$ *.*

Proof. We should check that (Y, Z, V, K) satisfies conditions of the definition of a solution of (2.4). First, note that $Y_{\sigma_i} = \Pi_{D^{i-1}}(Y_{\sigma_i})$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, so (Y, Z, V, K) satisfies (2.4). Since $Y_t \in D_t$, we only have to check the last condition, which is $\int_0^T \langle Y_s - X_s, dK_s\rangle \leq 0$, for every $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted càdlàg process X such that $X_t \in D_t$, $t \in [0,T]$. Clearly, by (c) we deduce that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \int_{[\sigma_{i-1},\sigma_i)} \langle Y_s - X_s\,, dK_s\rangle \leq 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Finally, the continuity of the process K ends the proof. ■

Further, we will need to apply Itô's formula to the function $x \to |x|^q$ for $q \in (1,2]$ which is not smooth enough. That is why we give the following Itô–Tanaka formula which extends some existing results to our framework (precisely, [2, Lemma 2.2] without jumps, [9, Lemma 7] without reflection and [7, Proposition 2.1] in dimension one). Let us introduce the following notation $sgn(x) = \frac{x}{|x|}1\!\!1_{\{x\neq 0\}}$, $x \in I\!R^d$.

Lemma 2.5 *Let* X *be a semimartingale of the form:*

$$X_t \;=\; X_0 + \int_0^t f_s\, ds + \int_0^t Z_s\, dW_s + \int_0^t dK_s + \int_0^t \int_U V_s(e)\nu(de, ds), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \tag{2.9}$$

such that $t \to f_t \in \mathcal{M}^{1,2}$*,* $Z \in \mathcal{M}^{d,2}$*,* $V \in \mathcal{L}^2$ *and* $K \in \mathcal{A}^2$*. Then, for any* $q \geq 1$ *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |X_t|^q \;=\;& |X_0|^q + L_t 1\!\!1_{\{q=1\}} + q\int_0^t |X_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(X_s), f_s\rangle\, ds + q\int_0^t |X_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(X_s), Z_s\, dW_s\rangle \\ &+ q\int_0^t |X_{s-}|^{q-1}\langle sgn(X_{s-}), V_s(e)\rangle \nu(de, ds) + q\int_0^t |X_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(X_s), dK_s\rangle \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_U \Big[|X_{s-} + V_s(e)|^q - |X_{s-}|^q - q|X_{s-}|^{q-1}\langle sgn(X_{s-}), V_s(e)\rangle\Big]\, p(de, ds) \\ &+ \frac{q}{2}\int_0^t |X_s|^{q-2} 1\!\!1_{\{X_s\neq 0\}}\Big[(2-q)\left(\|Z_s\|^2 - \langle sgn(X_s), Z_s Z_s^* sgn(X_s)\rangle\right) + (q-1)|Z_s|^2\Big]\, ds, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $\{L_t, t \in [0,T]\}$ *is a continuous, nondecreasing process such that* $L_0 = 0$*, and which increases only on the boundary of the random set* $\{t \in [0,T];\; X_t = X_{t-} = 0\}$*.*

Proof. Since the function $x \to |x|^q$ is not smooth enough for $q \in (1,2]$ to apply Itô's formula we use an approximation. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider the function $u_\varepsilon(x) = (|x|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{1/2}, x \in I\!R^m$ which is smooth.

Then, $\nabla u_\varepsilon^q(x) = q u_\varepsilon^{q-2}(x)x$ and $Hess\ u_\varepsilon^q(x) = q u_\varepsilon^{q-2}(x)I + q(q-2)(x\otimes x)u_\varepsilon^{q-4}(x)$, where I is the identity matrix of $I\!R^m$. Applying Itô's formula for X, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&u_\varepsilon^q(X_t) = u_\varepsilon^q(X_0) + q\int_0^t u_\varepsilon^{q-2}(X_s)\langle X_s, f_s\rangle\, ds + q\int_0^t u_\varepsilon^{q-2}(X_s)\langle X_s, Z_s dW_s\rangle \\
&+q\int_0^t\int_U u_\varepsilon^{q-2}(X_{s-})\langle X_{s-}, V_s(e)\rangle\nu(de,ds) + q\int_0^t u_\varepsilon^{q-2}(X_s)\langle X_s, dK_s\rangle \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t trace\Big[Z_s Z_s^* Hess\ u_\varepsilon^q(X_s)\Big]\, ds \qquad (2.11)\\
&+\int_0^t\int_U \Big[u_\varepsilon(X_{s-}+V_s(e))^q - u_\varepsilon(X_{s-})^q - q u_\varepsilon^{q-2}(X_{s-})\langle X_{s-}, V_s(e)\rangle\Big]\, p(de,ds).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we have to pass to the limit when $\varepsilon\to 0$ in the above identity. As in [2, Lemma 2.2], the following holds for the terms including the first derivative of u_ε

$$\int_0^t q u_\varepsilon^{q-2}(X_s)\langle X_s, f_s\rangle\, ds \to \int_0^t q\,|X_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(X_s), f_s\rangle\, ds,$$

$$\int_0^t q u_\varepsilon^{q-2}(X_s)\langle X_s, Z_s\, dW_s\rangle \to \int_0^t q\,|X_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(X_s), Z_s\, dW_s\rangle,$$

$$\int_0^t\int_U q u_\varepsilon^{q-2}(X_{s-})\langle X_{s-}, V_s(e)\rangle\nu(de,ds) \to \int_0^t q\,|X_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(X_{s-}), V_s(e)\rangle\nu(de,ds).$$

Moreover, by the dominated convergence theorem we have $\mathbb{P}$–a.s for $t\in[0,T]$

$$\int_0^t q u_\varepsilon^{q-2}(X_s)\langle X_s\, dK_s\rangle \to \int_0^t q\,|X_s|^{q-1}\,\langle sgn(X_s), dK_s\rangle.$$

On the other hand, thanks to the convexity of u_ε and using Fatou's lemma, the following converge also holds at least uniformly on $[0,T]$ in probability

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\int_U \Big[u_\varepsilon(X_{s-}+V_s(e))^q - u_\varepsilon(X_{s-})^q - q u_\varepsilon^{q-2}(X_{s-})\langle X_{s-}, V_s(e)\rangle\Big]\, p(de,ds) \\
&\longrightarrow \int_0^t\int_U \Big[|X_{s-}+V_s(e)|^q - |X_{s-}|^q - q|X_{s-}|^{q-1}\langle sgn(X_{s-}), V_s(e)\rangle\Big]\, p(de,ds).
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to study the convergence of the term involving the second derivative of u_ε, which will be treated as in [2, Lemma 2.2].

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t trace\Big[Z_sZ_s^* Hess\ u_\varepsilon^q(X_s)\Big]\,ds \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t q(2-q){\frac{|X_s|}{u_\varepsilon^q(X_s)}}^{4-q}|X_s|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{X_s\neq 0\}}\Big(\|Z_s\|^2 - \langle sgn(X_s), Z_sZ_s^* sgn(X_s)\rangle\Big)\,ds \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t q(q-1){\frac{|X_s|}{u_\varepsilon^q(X_s)}}^{4-q}|X_s|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{X_s\neq 0\}}\|Z_s\|^2\,ds + L_t^\varepsilon(q),
\end{aligned}
$$

where, $L_t^\varepsilon(q) = \frac{q}{2}\int_0^t \varepsilon^2|Z_s|^2 u_\varepsilon^{q-4}(X_s)\,ds$. Observe that,

$$
\|Z_s\|^2 \geq \langle sgn(X_s), Z_sZ_s^* sgn(X_s)\rangle,
$$

and

$$
\frac{|X_s|}{u_\varepsilon^q(X_s)} \nearrow 1\!\!1_{\{X_s\neq 0\}}, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.
$$

As a by-product, by monotone convergence, as $\varepsilon \to 0$

$$
\frac{q}{2}\int_0^t {\frac{|X_s|}{u_\varepsilon^q(X_s)}}^{4-q}|X_s|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{X_s\neq 0\}}\Big[(2-q)\left(\|Z_s\|^2 - \langle sgn(X_s), Z_sZ_s^* sgn(X_s)\rangle\right) + (q-1)\|Z_s\|^2\Big]\,ds,
$$

converges to

$$
\frac{q}{2}\int_0^t |X_s|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{X_s\neq 0\}}\Big[(2-q)\left(\|Z_s\|^2 - \langle sgn(X_s), Z_sZ_s^* sgn(X_s)\rangle\right) + (q-1)\|Z_s\|^2\Big]\,ds.
$$

In view of (2.11) and the above convergence results, it follows from arguments in the proofs of [2, Lemma 2.2] and [9, Lemma 7] that $\lim\limits_{\varepsilon\to 0} L_t^\varepsilon(q) = L_t(q) = L_t(q)1\!\!1_{\{q=1\}}$. Finally, by putting $L_t = L_t(1)$ we obtain (2.10), which completes the proof of Lemma 2.5. ■

Corollary 2.1 *If* (Y, Z, V, K) *is a solution of RBSDE* (2.4), $q \geq 1$, $c(q) = \frac{q[(q-1)\wedge 1]}{2}$ *and* $0 \leq t \leq r \leq T$. *Then*

$$
\begin{aligned}
|Y_t|^q \ \leq\ & |Y_r|^q + q\int_t^r |Y_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(Y_s), f(s, Y_s, Z_s, V_s)\rangle\,ds - q\int_t^r |X_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(X_s), Z_s\,dW_s\rangle \\
& -q\int_t^r |X_{s-}|^{q-1}\langle sgn(X_{s-}), V_s(e)\rangle\nu(de, ds) + q\int_t^r |X_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(X_s), dK_s\rangle \qquad (2.12) \\
& -c(q)\int_t^r\int_U |V_s(e)|^2\Big[|Y_{s-}|^2 \vee |Y_{s-} + V_s(e)|^2\Big]^{\frac{q}{2}-1}1\!\!1_{\{Y_{s-}\neq 0\}}\,p(de, ds) \\
& -c(q)\int_t^r |Y_s|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{Y_s\neq 0\}}\|Z_s\|^2\,ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, it follows immediately that

$$\begin{aligned}
|Y_t|^q \;\leq\; & |Y_r|^q + q\int_t^r |Y_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(Y_s), f(s,Y_s,Z_s,V_s)\rangle\,ds - q\int_t^r |Y_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(Y_s), Z_s\,dW_s\rangle \\
& -q\int_t^r |Y_{s-}|^{q-1}\langle sgn(Y_{s-}), V_s(e)\rangle \nu(de,ds) + q\int_t^r |Y_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(X_s), dK_s\rangle \qquad (2.13) \\
& -\int_t^r\int_U \Big[|Y_{s-}+V_s(e)|^q - |Y_{s-}|^q - q|Y_{s-}|^{q-1}\langle sgn(Y_{s-}), V_s(e)\rangle\Big]\,p(de,ds) \\
& -c(q)\int_t^r |Y_s|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{Y_s\neq 0\}}\|Z_s\|^2\,ds.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by [9, Lemma 8] it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_t^r\int_U \Big[|Y_{s-}+V_s(e)|^q - |Y_{s-}|^q - q|Y_{s-}|^{q-1}\langle sgn(Y_{s-}), V_s(e)\rangle\Big]\,p(de,ds) \qquad (2.14) \\
\geq\; & c(q)\int_t^r\int_U |V_s(e)|^2\Big[|Y_{s-}|^2 \vee |Y_{s-}+V_s(e)|^2\Big]^{\frac{q}{2}-1} 1\!\!1_{\{Y_{s-}\neq 0\}}\,p(de,ds).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, combining (2.13) with (2.14) yields (2.12), which completes the proof. ■

After these preliminaries, in the following section we are going to tackle the issue of the existence and uniqueness of the solution of RBSDE (2.4) in $\mathcal{D}$.

3 Existence of solutions of RBSDE (2.4)

The aim of this section is to show the following result which is the existence and uniqueness of the solution of RBSDE (2.4).

Theorem 3.1 *Assume* (H1)–(H4) *are satisfied. Then, there exists a unique solution* $(Y,Z,V,K) \in \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathcal{M}^{d,2} \times \mathcal{L}^2 \times \mathcal{A}^2$ *of the RBSDE* (2.4) *in* $\mathcal{D}$.

Firstly, we establish a priori estimates of the solution of RBSDE (2.4) in $\mathcal{D}$.

3.1 A priori estimates

Lemma 3.2 *Assume* (H1)–(H4). *If* (Y,Z,V,K) *is a solution of* (2.4) *such that* $Y \in \mathcal{S}^2$ *then there exists* $C>0$ *depending only on the Lipschitz constant and* T *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& E\Bigg[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|Y_t|^2 + \int_0^T \|Z_s\|^2\,ds + \int_0^T\int_U |V_s(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds) \\
& \qquad + \sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|K_t|^2 + \int_0^T \mathrm{dist}(A_s\,,\partial D_s)\,d|K|_s\Bigg] \qquad (3.1) \\
\leq\; & C\left[E\Big(|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T |f(s,0,0)|^2\,ds\Big) + \|A\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^2}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We first show the following:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T \|Z_s\|^2\,ds+\int_0^T\int_U |V_s(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds)+\sup_{0\le t\le T}|K_t|^2+\int_0^T \operatorname{dist}(A_s,\partial D_s)d|K|_s\right)\\ &\le C\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Y_t|^2+\int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0)|^2ds\right)+\|A\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^2}\right],\end{aligned}$$

where $C>0$ is a constant. Since there is a lack of integrability of the processes (Z,V) we are proceeding by localization. Actually, for $k\in\mathbb{N}$ let us set:

$$\tau_k=\inf\{t>0;\int_0^t \|Z_s\|^2ds+\int_0^t\int_U |V_s(e)|^2\lambda de(ds)>k\}\wedge T.$$

By Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}&|Y_0|^2+\int_0^{\tau_k}\|Z_s\|^2\,ds+\int_0^{\tau_k}\int_U |V_s(e)|^2p(de,ds)\\ =\;&|Y_{\tau_k}|^2+2\int_0^{\tau_k}\langle Y_s,f(s,Y_s,Z_s,V_s)\rangle\,ds-2\int_0^{\tau_k}\langle Y_s,Z_s\,dW_s\rangle\\ &-2\int_0^{\tau_k}\int_U\langle Y_s,V_s(e)\rangle\nu(de,ds)+2\int_0^{\tau_k}\langle Y_s,dK_s\rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

By the Lipschitz property of f, we have that

$$2\langle Y^n_s,f(s,Y_s,Z_s,V_s)\rangle\le(1+2C+4C^2)|Y_s|^2+|f(s,0,0)|^2+\frac{1}{2}|Z_s|^2+\frac{1}{2}\int_U|V_s(e)|^2\lambda(de).\tag{3.3}$$

Note that

$$\int_0^{\tau_k}\langle Y_s,dK_s\rangle=\int_0^{\tau_k}\langle Y_s-A_s,dK_s\rangle+\int_0^{\tau_k}\langle A_s,dK_s\rangle.\tag{3.4}$$

Next, note that by Lemma 2.1 (b) and the fact that $dK_t=n_{Y_t}d|K|_t$, we get

$$\int_0^{\tau_k}\langle Y_s-A_s,dK_s\rangle\le-\int_0^{\tau_k}\operatorname{dist}(A_s,\partial D_s)d|K|_s.\tag{3.5}$$

Moreover, by the integration by parts formula, we obtain

$$\int_0^{\tau_k}\langle A_s,dK_s\rangle=A_{\tau_k}K_{\tau_k}+\int_0^{\tau_k}\langle K_s,dA_s\rangle.\tag{3.6}$$

Now going back to (3.2), and in view of (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) we deduce, for some constant $C_1 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Y_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{\tau_k} \|Z_s\|^2\, ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{\tau_k}\int_U |V_s(e)|^2 p(de, ds) \\
\leq\ & |Y_{\tau_k}|^2 + C_1 \int_0^{\tau_k} |Y_s|^2 ds + \int_0^{\tau_k} |f(s,0,0,0)|^2 ds - 2\int_0^{\tau_k} \langle Y_s, Z_s\, dW_s\rangle \\
& -2\int_\tau^{\tau_k}\int_U \langle Y_{s-}, V_s(e)\rangle \nu(de, ds) - 2\int_0^{\tau_k} \mathrm{dist}(A_s, \partial D_s) d|K|_s \\
& +2A_{\tau_k}K_{\tau_k} + 2\int_0^{\tau_k} \langle K_s, dA_s\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2|Y_0|^2 + \int_0^{\tau_k} \|Z_s\|^2\, ds + \int_0^{\tau_k}\int_U |V_s(e)|^2 p(de, ds) + 4\int_0^{\tau_k} \mathrm{dist}(A_s, \partial D_s) d|K|_s \\
\leq\ & 2|Y_{\tau_k}|^2 + C_1 \int_0^{\tau_k} |Y_s|^2 ds + 2\int_0^{\tau_k} |f(s,0,0,0)|^2 ds + 4 \sup_{0\leq t\leq \tau_k} |K_{\tau_k}| \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} |A_t| \\
& +4\int_0^{\tau_k} \langle K_s, dA_s\rangle - 2\int_0^{\tau_k} \langle Y_s, Z_s\, dW_s\rangle - 2\int_0^{\tau_k}\int_U \langle Y_{s-}, V_s(e)\rangle \nu(de, ds).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

But since $K_t = Y_0 - Y_t - \int_0^t f(s, Y_s, Z_s, V_s)\, ds + \int_0^t Z_s\, dW_s + \int_0^t \int_U V_s(e)\nu(de, ds)$, then using the Lipschitz property of f, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{0\leq t\leq \tau_k} |K_t| \ \leq\ & (2 + C_1 T) \sup_{0\leq t\leq \tau_k} |Y_t| + \int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0)| ds + C\int_0^{\tau_k} |Z_s|\, ds + C\int_0^{\tau_k}\int_U |V_s|\lambda(e)(ds) \\
& + \sup_{0\leq t\leq \tau_k} \left|\int_0^t Z_s\, dW_s\right| + \sup_{0\leq t\leq \tau_k} \left|\int_0^t\int_U V_s \nu(de, ds)\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, taking the square and then the expectation in both sides of the last inequality and making use of Jensen inequality, yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq \tau_k} |K_t|^2\right) \\
\leq\ & (2 + C_1 T)\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq \tau_k} |Y_t|^2\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0)|^2 ds\right) ds + C\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^{\tau_k} |Z_s|^2\, ds\right) \\
& +C\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^{\tau_k}\int_U |V_s|^2 \lambda(e)(ds)\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq \tau_k} \left|\int_0^t Z_s\, dW_s\right|^2\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq \tau_k} \left|\int_0^t\int_U V_s \nu(de, ds)\right|^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_k}\langle Y_s, Z_s\,dW_s\rangle$ and $\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_k}\int_U\langle Y_{s-}, V_s(e)\rangle\nu(de,ds)$ are uniformly integrable martingales, we deduce by applying Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality that, for some constant $C_2>0$

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le\tau_k}|K_t|^2\right) \qquad (3.8)\\ \le\;& C_2\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le\tau_k}|Y_t|^2+\int_0^T|f(s,0,0,0)|^2ds+\int_0^{\tau_k}|Z_s|^2\,ds+\int_0^{\tau_k}\int_U|V_s|^2\lambda(e)(ds)\right).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, note that

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\int_0^{\tau_k}\langle K_s, dA_s\rangle\right|\le c\|K\|^{\tau_k}_{\mathcal{S}^2_c}\|A\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^2}. \qquad (3.9)$$

Next, taking expectation in (3.7), and in view of (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain that there is a constant $C_3>0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^{\tau_k}\|Z_s\|^2\,ds+2\int_0^{\tau_k}\int_U|V_s(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds)+4\int_0^{\tau_k}\mathrm{dist}(A_s,\partial D_s)d|K|_s\right)\\ \le\;& C_3\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le\tau_k}|Y_t|^2+\int_0^T|f(s,0,0,0)|^2ds+\sup_{0\le t\le T}|A_t|^2\right)+\|A\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^2}\right] \qquad (3.10)\\ &+(2C_2)^{-1}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le\tau_k}|K_t|^2\right),\end{aligned}$$

note that, we have used above the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^{\tau_k}\langle Y_s, Z_s\,dW_s\rangle\right]$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^{\tau_k}\int_U\langle Y_s, V_s(e)\rangle\nu(de,ds)\right]$ are equal to zero, since $\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_k}\langle Y_s, Z_s\,dW_s\rangle$ and $\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_k}\int_U\langle Y_s, V_s(e)\rangle\nu(de,ds)$ are uniformly integrable martingales. Therefore, in view of (3.8) and (3.10), and having in mind that $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|A_t|^2]\le\|A\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^2}$ then letting $k\to+\infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T\|Z_s\|^2\,ds+\int_0^T\int_U|V_s(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds)+\sup_{0\le t\le T}|K_t|^2+\int_0^T\mathrm{dist}(A_s,\partial D_s)d|K|_s\right)\\ &\le C_4\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Y_t|^2+\int_0^T|f(s,0,0,0)|^2ds\right)+\|A\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^2}\right], \qquad (3.11)\end{aligned}$$

where $C_4>0$ is a constant, which is the desired result.

Next we will estimate $\mathbb{E}\left(\sup\limits_{0\le t\le T}|Y_t|^2\right)$. To this end, we apply Itô's formula to $|Y_t|^2$ for $t\in[0,T]$, gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Y_t|^2+\int_t^T\|Z_s\|^2\,ds+\int_t^T\int_U|V_s(e)|^2p(de,ds)\\
= \;& |\xi|^2+2\int_t^T\langle Y_s,f(s,Y_s,Z_s,V_s)\rangle\,ds-2\int_t^T\langle Y_s,Z_s\,dW_s\rangle+2\int_t^T\langle Y_s,dK_s\rangle\\
& -2\int_t^T\int_U\langle Y_{s-},V_s(e)\rangle\nu(de,ds).
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Y_t|^2+\int_t^T\|Z_s\|^2\,ds+\int_t^T\int_U|V_s(e)|^2p(de,ds) \qquad (3.12)\\
\le\; & |\xi|^2+\int_0^T|f(s,0,0,0)|^2\,ds+C_1\int_t^T|Y_s|^2\,ds+2\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left|\int_0^t\langle A_s,dK_s\rangle\right|+\frac{1}{2}\int_t^T\|Z_s\|^2\,ds\\
& +\frac{1}{2}\int_t^T\int_U|V_s(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds)-2\int_t^T\langle Y_s,Z_s\,dW_s\rangle-2\int_t^T\int_U\langle Y_{s-},V_s(e)\rangle\nu(de,ds).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_0^t\langle Y_s,Z_s\,dW_s\rangle$ and $\int_0^t\int_U\langle Y_s,V_s(e)\rangle\nu(de,ds)$ are uniformly integrable martingales, then taking expectation in the last inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}|Y_t|^2+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\|Z_s\|^2\,ds+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\int_U|V_s(e)|^2\lambda(de)(ds)\\
\le\; & \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_0^T|f(s,0,0,0)|^2\,ds+C_1\mathbb{E}\int_t^T|Y_s|^2\,ds+2\sup_{0\le t\le T}|\int_0^t\langle A_s,dK_s\rangle|.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\int_t^T\|Z_s\|^2\,ds+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\int_U|V_s(e)|^2\lambda(de)(ds)\\
\le\; & 2\mathbb{E}\left[|\xi|^2+\int_0^T|f(s,0,0,0)|^2\,ds+C_1\int_t^T|Y_s|^2\,ds+2\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left|\int_0^t\langle A_s,dK_s\rangle\right|\right]. \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

Going back to (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\le s\le T}|Y_s|^2\right)\\
\le\; & 2\mathbb{E}\left[|\xi|^2+\int_0^T|f(s,0,0,0)|^2\,ds+C_1\int_t^T|Y_s|^2\,ds+2\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left|\int_0^t\langle A_s,dK_s\rangle\right|\right] \qquad (3.14)\\
& +\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\le s\le T}\left|\int_s^T\langle Y_r,Z_r\,dW_r\rangle\right|\right)+\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\le s\le T}\left|\int_s^T\int_U\langle Y_{r-},V_r(e)\rangle\nu(de,dr)\right|\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by applying the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality to the two last terms of the right hand side of the previous inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\le s\le T}\left|\int_s^T \langle Y_r, Z_r\, dW_r\rangle\right|\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\le s\le T}\left|\int_s^T\int_U \langle Y_r, V_r(e)\rangle \nu(de,dr)\right|\right)\\
\le\ & C_5\mathbb{E}\left(\int_t^T |Y_s|^2\|Z_s\|^2 ds\right)^{1/2} + C_6\mathbb{E}\left(\int_t^T\int_U |Y_{s-}|^2\|V_s\|^2\lambda(de)(ds)\right)^{1/2}\\
\le\ & \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\le s\le T}|Y_t|^2\right) + 4C_5^2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|Z_s\|^2 ds + 4C_6^2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\int_U \|V_s\|^2\lambda(de)(ds).
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, substituting the above estimate in (3.14), then taking in consideration (3.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\le s\le T}|Y_s|^2\right)\\
\le\ & 2\mathbb{E}\left[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0)|^2\, ds + C_1\int_t^T |Y_s|^2\, ds + 2\sup_{0\le t\le T}|\int_0^t \langle A_s, dK_s\rangle|\right]\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\le s\le T}|Y_t|^2 + 4C_5^2\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|Z_s\|^2 ds + 4C_6^2\mathbb{E}\int_0^T\int_U \|V_s\|^2\lambda(de)(ds).\\
\le\ & (2+4C_5^2+4C_6^2)\mathbb{E}\left[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0)|^2\, ds + C_1\int_t^T |Y_s|^2\, ds + 2\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left|\int_0^t \langle A_s, dK_s\rangle\right|\right]\\
&+C_1(2+4C_5^2+4C_6^2)\int_t^T |Y_s|^2\, ds + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\le s\le T}|Y_t|^2\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, there are positive constants C_7 and C_8 such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\le s\le T}|Y_s|^2\right) \le C_7\mathbb{E}\left[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0)|^2\, ds + 2\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left|\int_0^t \langle A_s, dK_s\rangle\right|\right] + C_8\int_t^T \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s\le r\le T}|Y_r|^2\right) ds.
$$

As a by-product applying Gronwall's Lemma and letting $t=0$, we deduce that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le s\le T}|Y_s|^2\right) \le C_7 e^{C_8 T}\mathbb{E}\left[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0)|^2\, ds + 2\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left|\int_0^t \langle A_s, dK_s\rangle\right|\right]. \qquad (3.15)
$$

From earlier arguments, the following estimate holds true, for some constant $C_9 > 0$

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left|\int_0^t \langle A_s, dK_s\rangle\right|\right) \le \frac{1}{4C_4C_7}e^{-C_8T}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|K_t|^2\right) + C_9\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}^2. \qquad (3.16)
$$

Finally, combining (3.15) together with estimates (3.16) and (3.11), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le s\le T}|Y_s|^2\right)\\
\le\ &\left(C_7e^{C_8T}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\mathbb{E}\left(|\xi|^2+\int_0^T|f(s,0,0,0)|^2\,ds\right)+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le s\le T}|Y_s|^2\right)+\frac{1}{2}C_7C_9e^{C_8T}\|A\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le s\le T}|Y_s|^2\right)\le\widetilde{C}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(|\xi|^2+\int_0^T|f(s,0,0,0)|^2\,ds\right)+\|A\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^2}\right],$$

for some constant $\widetilde{C}>0$ independent of n. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2 . ■

Let $\mathcal{D}'=\{D'_t,t\in[0,T]\}$ be another family of time-dependent convex domains with nonempty interiors satisfying (H4) with some semimartingale A'. Let us consider RBSDE in $\mathcal{D}'$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
Y'_t\ &=\ \xi'+\int_t^T f(s,Y'_s,Z'_s,V'_s)\,ds-\int_t^T Z'_s\,dW_s\\
&\quad+K'_T-K'_t-\int_t^T\int_U V'_s(e)\nu(de,ds),0\le t\le T.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

Lemma 3.3 *Let (Y,Z,V,K), (Y',Z',V',K') be solutions of (2.4) and (3.17), respectively, such that $Y,Y'\in\mathcal{S}^2$. Set $\bar{Y}=Y-Y'$, $\bar{Z}=Z-Z'$, $\bar{V}=V-V'$ and $\bar{K}=K-K'$. If f satisfies* (H3) *then for every $q\in(1,2]$ there exists a constant $C>0$ depending only on the Lipschitz constant and T such that for every stopping time σ such that $0\le\sigma\le T$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t<\sigma}|\bar{Y}_t|^q+\int_0^\sigma|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{\bar{Y}_s\neq0\}}\|\bar{Z}_s\|^2\,ds+\int_0^\sigma\int_U|\bar{Y}_{s-}|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{\bar{Y}_{s-}\neq0\}}|\bar{V}_s(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds)\right)\\
\le\ &C\mathbb{E}\left(|\bar{Y}_\sigma|^q+\int_0^\sigma|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}|\Pi_{D_s}(Y'_s)-Y'_s|1\!\!1_{\{Y'_s\notin D_s\}}d|K|_s+\int_0^\sigma|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}|\Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s)-Y_s|1\!\!1_{\{Y_s\notin D'_s\}}d|K'|_s\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying Corollary 2.1, for $q\in(1,2]$ on $[t\wedge\sigma,\sigma]$ such that $t\in[0,T]$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\bar{Y}_{t\wedge\sigma}|^q+\frac{q(q-1)}{2}\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{\bar{Y}_s\neq0\}}\|\bar{Z}_s\|^2ds+\frac{q(q-1)}{2}\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma\int_U|\bar{Y}_{s-}|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{\bar{Y}_{s-}\neq0\}}|\bar{V}_s|^2\,p(de,ds)\\
\le\ &|\bar{Y}_\sigma|^q+q\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_s),f(s,Y_s,Z_s,V_s)-f(s,Y'_s,Z'_s,V'_s)\rangle\,ds\\
&-q\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_s),\bar{Z}_s\,dW_s\rangle-q\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma\int_U|\bar{Y}_{s-}|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_{s-}),\bar{V}_s(e)\rangle\nu(de,ds)\\
&+q\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_s),d\bar{K}_s\rangle.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

Thanks to the Lipschitz property of f, we have for some $C_1 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & q\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma}|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_s), f(s,Y_s,Z_s,V_s)-f(s,Y'_s,Z'_s,V'_s)\rangle\,ds\\ \leq\ & C_1\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma}|\bar{Y}_s|^q\,ds+\frac{q(q-1)}{4}\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma}|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}\mathbb{1}_{\{\bar{Y}_s\neq 0\}}\|\bar{Z}_s\|^2\,ds\\ & +\frac{q(q-1)}{4}\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma}\int_U|\bar{Y}_{s-}|^{q-2}\mathbb{1}_{\{\bar{Y}_{s-}\neq 0\}}|\bar{V}_s(e)|^2\lambda(de)\,ds.\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

Note that,

$$\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma}|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_s), d\bar{K}_s\rangle=\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma}|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}\mathbb{1}_{\{Y_s\neq Y'_s\}}\langle\bar{Y}_s, d\bar{K}_s\rangle.\tag{3.20}$$

Next, rearranging (3.18) in view of (3.19) and (3.20), yields that for $t\in[0,T]$

$$\begin{aligned} & |\bar{Y}_{t\wedge\sigma}|^q+\frac{q(q-1)}{4}\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma}|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}\mathbb{1}_{\{\bar{Y}_s\neq 0\}}\|\bar{Z}_s\|^2ds+\frac{q(q-1)}{4}\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma}\int_U|\bar{Y}_{s-}|^{q-2}\mathbb{1}_{\{\bar{Y}_{s-}\neq 0\}}|\bar{V}_s|^2p(de,ds)\\ \leq\ & |\bar{Y}_\sigma|^q+qC_1\int_t^T|\bar{Y}_{s\wedge\sigma}|^q\,ds-q\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma}|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_s),\bar{Z}_s\,dW_s\rangle\\ & -q\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma}\int_U|\bar{Y}_{s-}|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_{s-}),\bar{V}_s(e)\rangle\nu(de,ds)+q\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma}|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}\mathbb{1}_{\{Y_s\neq Y'_s\}}\langle\bar{Y}_s,d\bar{K}_s\rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{3.21}$$

Now, we focus on the last term of the right hand side of the above inequality. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\bar{Y}_s,d\bar{K}_s\rangle &= \langle Y_s-\Pi_{D_s}(Y'_s),dK_s\rangle+\langle Y'_s-\Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s),dK'_s\rangle\\ &\quad+\langle\Pi_{D_s}(Y'_s)-Y'_s,dK_s\rangle+\langle\Pi_{D'_s}(Y^n_s)-Y_s,dK'_s\rangle\\ &\leq |\Pi_{D_s}(Y'_s)-Y'_s|d|K|_s+|\Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s)-Y_s|d|K'|_s.\end{aligned}\tag{3.22}$$

Consequently, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma}|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}\mathbb{1}_{\{Y_s\neq Y'_s\}}\langle\bar{Y}_s,d\bar{K}_s\rangle\\ \leq\ & \int_0^{\sigma}|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}|\Pi_{D_s}(Y'_s)-Y'_s|\mathbb{1}_{\{Y'_s\notin D_s\}}d|K|_s+\int_0^{\sigma}|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}|\Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s)-Y_s|\mathbb{1}_{\{Y_s\notin D'_s\}}d|K'|_s.\end{aligned}\tag{3.23}$$

Going back to (3.21), and taking into account estimate (3.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q(q-1)}{4}\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma}|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}\mathbb{1}_{\{\bar{Y}_s\neq 0\}}\|\bar{Z}_s\|^2ds+\frac{q(q-1)}{4}\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma}\int_U|\bar{Y}_{s-}|^{q-2}\mathbb{1}_{\{\bar{Y}_{s-}\neq 0\}}|\bar{V}_s|^2p(de,ds)\\ \leq\ & |\bar{Y}_\sigma|^q+qC_1\int_t^T|\bar{Y}_{s\wedge\sigma}|^q\,ds-q\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma}|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_s),\bar{Z}_s\,dW_s\rangle\\ & -q\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma}\int_U|\bar{Y}_{s-}|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_{s-}),\bar{V}_s(e)\rangle\nu(de,ds)+q\int_0^{\sigma}|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}|\Pi_{D_s}(Y'_s)-Y'_s|\mathbb{1}_{\{Y'_s\notin D_s\}}d|K|_s\\ & +q\int_0^{\sigma}|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}|\Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s)-Y_s|\mathbb{1}_{\{Y_s\notin D'_s\}}d|K'|_s.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_0^{t\wedge\sigma} |\bar{Y}_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn\bar{Y}_s, \bar{Z}_s\, dW_s\rangle$ and $\int_0^{t\wedge\sigma}\int_U |\bar{Y}_{s-}|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_{s-}), \bar{V}_s(e)\rangle\nu(de,ds)$ are uniformly integrable martingales, then taking expectation in the last inequality yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{q(q-1)}{4}\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma} |\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{\bar{Y}_s\neq 0\}}\|\bar{Z}_s\|^2 ds + \frac{q(q-1)}{4}\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^{\sigma}\int_U |\bar{Y}_{s-}|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{\bar{Y}_{s-}\neq 0\}}|\bar{V}_s|^2 p(de,ds)\\
\leq\ & \mathbb{E}|\bar{Y}_\sigma|^q + qC_1\mathbb{E}\int_t^T |\bar{Y}_{s\wedge\sigma}|^q\, ds + q\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^\sigma |\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}|\Pi_{D_s}(Y'_s) - Y'_s|1\!\!1_{\{Y'_s\notin D_s\}} d|K|_s\right]\\
&+q\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^\sigma |\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}|\Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s) - Y_s|1\!\!1_{\{Y_s\notin D'_s\}} d|K'|_s\right].
\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

Going back to (3.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}|\bar{Y}_{s\wedge\sigma}|^q\right)\\
\leq\ & \mathbb{E}|\bar{Y}_\sigma|^q + qC_1\mathbb{E}\int_t^T |\bar{Y}_{s\wedge\sigma}|^q\, ds + q\int_0^\sigma |\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}|\Pi_{D_s}(Y'_s) - Y'_s|1\!\!1_{\{Y'_s\notin D_s\}} d|K|_s\\
&+q\int_0^\sigma |\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}|\Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s) - Y_s|1\!\!1_{\{Y_s\notin D'_s\}} d|K'|_s + q\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|\int_{s\wedge\sigma}^\sigma |\bar{Y}_r|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_r), \bar{Z}_r\, dW_r\rangle\right|\right)\\
&+q\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|\int_{s\wedge\sigma}^\sigma\int_U |\bar{Y}_{r-}|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_{r-}), \bar{V}_r(e)\rangle\nu(de,dr)\right|\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.25}$$

Again, since $\int_0^{t\wedge\sigma} |\bar{Y}_s|^{q-1}\langle sgn\bar{Y}_s, \bar{Z}_s\, dW_s\rangle$ and $\int_0^{t\wedge\sigma}\int_U |\bar{Y}_{s-}|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_{s-}), \bar{V}_s(e)\rangle\nu(de,ds)$ are uniformly integrable martingales, then applying Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality to the last terms of the above inequality, yields that for some constants $C_2, C_3 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|\int_{s\wedge\sigma}^\sigma |\bar{Y}_r|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_r), \bar{Z}_r\, dW_r\rangle\right|\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}\left|\int_{s\wedge\sigma}^\sigma\int_U |\bar{Y}_{r-}|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_{r-}), \bar{V}_r(e)\rangle\nu(de,dr)\right|\right)\\
\leq\ & C_5\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma |\bar{Y}_s|^{2q-2}1\!\!1_{\{\bar{Y}_s\neq 0\}}\|\bar{Z}_s\|^2 ds\right)^{1/2} + C_3\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma\int_U |\bar{Y}_{s-}|^{2q-2}1\!\!1_{\{\bar{Y}_{s-}\neq 0\}}\|\bar{V}_s\|^2\lambda(de)(ds)\right)^{1/2}\\
\leq\ & \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}|\bar{Y}_{s\wedge\sigma}|^2\right) + 4C_2^2\mathbb{E}\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma |\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{\bar{Y}_s\neq 0\}}\|Z_s\|^2 ds\\
&+4C_3^2\mathbb{E}\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma\int_U |\bar{Y}_{s-}|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{\bar{Y}_{s-}\neq 0\}}\|V_s\|^2\lambda(de)(ds).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.26}$$

Therefore, substituting (3.26) in (3.25) and taking into accout (3.24), we deduce that there exist constants $C_4, C_5 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\leq s\leq T}|\bar{Y}_{s\wedge\sigma}|^q\right)\ \leq\ & C_4\mathbb{E}\left[|\bar{Y}_\sigma|^q + \int_0^\sigma |\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}|\Pi_{D_s}(Y'_s) - Y'_s|1\!\!1_{\{Y'_s\notin D_s\}} d|K|_s\right.\\
&\left.+\int_0^\sigma |\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}|\Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s) - Y_s|1\!\!1_{\{Y_s\notin D'_s\}} d|K'|_s\right] + C_5\int_t^T \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s\leq r\leq T}|\bar{Y}_{r\wedge\sigma}|^q\right) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Gronwall's lemma we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|\bar{Y}_{t\wedge\sigma}|^q\right) &\le C_4e^{C_5T}\mathbb{E}\left[|\bar{Y}_\sigma|^q+\int_0^\sigma|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}|\Pi_{D_s}(Y'_s)-Y'_s|1\!\!1_{\{Y'_s\notin D_s\}}d|K|_s\right.\\ &\qquad\left.+\int_0^\sigma|\bar{Y}_s|^{q-2}|\Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s)-Y_s|1\!\!1_{\{Y_s\notin D'_s\}}d|K'|_s\right].\end{aligned}$$

Observing that $\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t<\sigma}|\bar{Y}_t|^q\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|\bar{Y}_{t\wedge\sigma}|^q\right)$, and putting $t=0$ in (3.24) completes the proof of Lemma 3.3. ■

In order to study the problem of existence of solutions of RBSDE (2.4), we shall first study the issue of existence and uniqueness of local RBSDEs on closed random intervals. An existence and uniqueness results as well as bounds of such RBSDEs are given in the next subsection.

3.2 Local RBSDE

3.2.1 A priori estimate

In this subsection, we give estimates for the solutions of local RBSDEs (2.6). We refrain from giving the proofs of the following Lemmas, since they can be obtained respectively by mimicking the same argumentation as in Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 for $q=2$.

Lemma 3.4 *Assume* (H1*)–(H4*) *hold true. If* $(Y,Z,V,K-K_\tau)$ *is a solution of* (2.6) *such that* $\sup_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}|Y_t|\in L^2$ *then there exists* $C>0$ *depending only on the Lipschitz constant and* T *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}|Y_t|^2+\int_\tau^\sigma\|Z_s\|^2\,ds+\int_\tau^\sigma\int_U|V_s(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds)+\int_\tau^\sigma\mathrm{dist}(A_s,\partial D_s)d|K|_s/\mathcal{F}_\tau\right)\\ \le\;& C\mathbb{E}\left[|\zeta|^2+|A|^2+\int_\tau^\sigma|f(s,0,0,0)|^2ds/\mathcal{F}_\tau\right].\end{aligned}\tag{3.27}$$

■

Let D' be another $\mathcal{F}_\tau$-measurable random convex set with nonempty interior, $\zeta'\in L^2$ be an $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$-measurable random variable such that $\zeta'\in D'$ P-a.s. and there is an $\mathcal{F}_\tau$-measurable random variable $A'\in L^2$ such that $A'\in\mathrm{Int}D'$. Consider the local RBSDE on $[\tau,\sigma]$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned}Y'_t &= \zeta+\int_t^\sigma f(s,Y'_s,Z'_s,V'_s)\,ds-\int_t^\sigma Z'_s\,dW_s\\ &\quad+K'_\sigma-K'_t-\int_t^\sigma\int_U V'_s(e)\nu(de,ds),\quad t\in[\tau,\sigma].\end{aligned}\tag{3.28}$$

Lemma 3.5 *Let* (Y,Z,V,K), (Y',Z',V',K') *be solutions of* (2.6) *and* (3.28) *respectively, such that* $Y,Y'\in\mathcal{S}^2$. *Set* $\bar{Y}=Y-Y'$, $\bar{Z}=Z-Z'$, $\bar{V}=V-V'$ *and* $\bar{K}=K-K'$. *If* f *satisfies* (H3) *then there exists* $C>0$ *depending only on the Lipschitz constant and* T *such that*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}|\bar{Y}_t|^2+\int_\tau^\sigma\|\bar{Z}_s\|^2\,ds+\int_\tau^\sigma\int_U|\bar{V}_s(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds)/\mathcal{F}_\tau\right)\\
\le\ & C\mathbb{E}\left(|\bar{\xi}|^2+\sup_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}|\Pi_{D_t}(Y'_t)-Y'_t|\,|K|_\tau^\sigma+\sup_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}|\Pi_{D'_t}(Y_t)-Y_t|\,|K'|_\tau^\sigma/\mathcal{F}_\tau\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

■

Now we are able to state the main result of this subsection which is the existence and uniqueness of solutions of local RBSDE (2.6), but we will need the following additional assumption:

$$
\text{There exists}\quad N\in\mathbb{N}\text{ such that }D\subset B(0,N). \tag{3.29}
$$

Theorem 3.6 *Assume that* $(H1^*)-(H4^*)$ *and* (3.29) *are satisfied. Then there exists a unique solution* $(Y,Z,V,K)\in\mathcal{S}^2\times\mathcal{M}^{d,2}\times\mathcal{L}^2\times\mathcal{A}^2$ *of the RBSDE* (2.6) *in* $\mathcal{D}$.

Proof. The uniqueness follows immediately from Lemma 3.5. Let us now, prove the existence. To do so we shall first consider the particular case where D is nonrandom, then we will treat the general case where this time D is a random time-dependent convex domain.

The particular Case: D is fixed and nonrandom.

To prove the existence, we first assume that D is nonrandom, i.e., $D=G$, where G is some fixed convex set with nonempty interior. Set $g(s,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)=f(s,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)\mathbf{1}_{[0,\sigma[}(s)$. By [12, Theorem 2.1] there exists a solution (Y,Z,V,K) of the following RBSDE in G

$$
Y_t=\zeta+\int_t^T g(s,Y_s,Z_s,V_s)\,ds-\int_t^T Z_s\,dW_s+K_T-K_t-\int_t^T\int_U V_s(e)\nu(de,ds),\quad t\in[0,T]. \tag{3.30}
$$

Moreover, since $Y_t=\zeta$, $Z_t=0$, $V_t=0$ and $K_T=K_t$ for $t\ge\sigma$, it is clear that for any $\tau\le\sigma$ the triple $(Y,Z,V,K-K_\tau)$ is also a solution of the local RBSDE (2.6) on $[\tau,\sigma]$.

The general case: D is random and time-dependent.

It is well known that in the space $\mathcal{C}\cap B(0,N)$ there exists a countable dense set $\{G_1,G_2,\dots\}$ of convex polyhedrons such that $G_i\subset B(0,N)$, $i\in\mathbb{N}$. Actually we have already shown in the first part of the proof that, for each $i\in\mathbb{N}$ there exists a solution (Y^i,Z^i,V^i,K^i) of the local RBSDE in G_i with terminal value $\zeta_i=\Pi_{G_i}(\zeta)$. Set $C_1^j=\{\rho(G_1,D)\le 1/j\}$ and

$$
C_i^j=\{\rho(G_i,D)\le 1/j,\ \rho(G_1,D)>1/j,\dots,\rho(G_{i-1}^j,D)>1/j\},\quad i=2,3,\dots
$$

Furthermore, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ set

$$\zeta^j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pi_{G_i}(\zeta)\mathbf{1}_{C_i^j}, \quad D^j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i \mathbf{1}_{C_i^j}.$$

Since $C_i^j \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $(Y^j, Z^j, V^j, K^j) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(Y_i, Z_i, V_i, K_i)\mathbf{1}_{C_i^j}$ is a solution of the local RBSDE in D^j with terminal value ζ^j. Set

$$A^j = \begin{cases} A, & \text{if } \operatorname{dist}(A, \partial D) > 1/j, \\ a_i \in \operatorname{Int} G_i, & \text{if } \operatorname{dist}(A, \partial D) \leq 1/j \text{ and } D^j = G_i,\ i \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

and observe that $|\zeta^j| \leq N$ and $|A^j| \leq N$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We will approximate (Y, Z, V, K) solution of RBSDE (2.6) in D by (Y^j, Z^j, V^j, K^j) solution of the following local RBSDE in D^j

$$Y_t^j = \zeta + \int_t^\sigma f(s, Y_s^j, Z_s^j, V^j)\, ds - \int_t^\sigma Z_s^{j,n}\, dW_s - \int_t^\sigma \int_U V_s(e)\nu(de, ds) + K_\sigma^j - K_t^j, \quad t \in [\tau, \sigma]. \tag{3.31}$$

By Lemma 3.4, we have the following estimates of the solutions

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau \leq t \leq \sigma} |Y_t^j|^2 + \int_\tau^\sigma \|Z_s^j\|^2\, ds + \int_\tau^\sigma \int_U |V_s^j(e)|^2 \lambda(e)(ds)\right) \\ \leq\ & C\left[N^2 + \mathbb{E}\Big(\int_\tau^\sigma |f(s,0,0,0)|^2 ds/\mathcal{F}_\tau\Big)\right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left(|K^j|_\tau^\sigma/\mathcal{F}_\tau\right) \leq C\left(\inf_{\tau \leq t \leq \sigma} \operatorname{dist}(A_t^j, \partial D_t^j)\right)^{-1}\left[N^2 + \mathbb{E}\Big(\int_\tau^\sigma |f(s,0,0,0)|^2 ds/\mathcal{F}_\tau\Big)\right]. \tag{3.32}$$

Since $\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{dist}(A, \partial D) > 1/j) \uparrow 1$ and $\operatorname{dist}(A^j, \partial D^j) > \operatorname{dist}(A, \partial D) - \frac{1}{j}$ if $\operatorname{dist}(A, \partial D) > \frac{1}{j}$, then

$$\{\mathbb{E}\left(|K^j|_\tau^\sigma/\mathcal{F}_\tau\right), j \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ is bounded in probability.} \tag{3.33}$$

Next, we show that (Y^j, Z^j, V^j, K^j) is a Cauchy sequence.
For any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, we get by Lemma 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau \leq t \leq \sigma} |Y_t^j - Y_t^{j+i}|^2 + \int_\tau^\sigma \|Z_s^j - Z_s^{j+i}\|^2 ds + \int_\tau^\sigma \int_U |V_s^j(e) - V^{j+i}(e)|^2 \lambda(e)(ds)/\mathcal{F}_\tau\right) \\ \leq\ & C\left[\mathbb{E}\Big(|\zeta^j - \zeta^{j+i}|^2/\mathcal{F}_\tau\Big) + \delta(D^j, D^{j+i})\mathbb{E}\Big(|K^j|_\tau^\sigma + |K^{j+i}|_\tau^\sigma/\mathcal{F}_\tau\Big)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Now, since for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ $|\zeta^j - \zeta^{j+i}| < \frac{2}{j}$ and $\delta(D^j, D^{j+i}) < \frac{2}{j}$. As a by-product, combining (3.33) and (3.34) then letting j and i goes to infinity yields that (Y^j, Z^j, V^j, K^j) is a Cauchy sequence on $[\tau, \sigma]$ in the space $\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{P}\times\mathcal{L}\times\mathcal{S}_c$. Setting $Y := \lim\limits_{j\to+\infty} Y^j$, $Z := \lim\limits_{j\to+\infty} Z^j$ and $V := \lim\limits_{j\to+\infty} V^j$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}|Y_t^j - Y_t|^2 + \int_\tau^\sigma \|Z_s^j - Z_s\|^2 ds + \int_\tau^\sigma \int_U |V_s^j(e) - V(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds)/\mathcal{F}_\tau\right) \longrightarrow_{j\to+\infty} 0.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 3.4 the limit triple of processes (Y, Z, V) satisfies the following

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}|Y_t|^2 + \int_\tau^\sigma \|Z_s\|^2\, ds + \int_\tau^\sigma \int_U |V_s(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds)/\mathcal{F}_\tau\right) < +\infty.$$

Now, returning to RBSDE (3.31) satisfied by (Y^j, Z^j, V^j), and using the above discussions, we deduce that there exists a limit K_t such that $K_t = \lim\limits_{j\to+\infty} K_t^j$. That is,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}|K_t^j - K_t|^2\right) = 0, \quad \text{as j goes to} + \infty.$$

Thus, since $(K_t^j)_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}$ is continuous then $(K_t)_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}$ is continuous, and $(Y_t)_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}$ is càdlàg . Obviously, (Y, Z, V, K) satisfies the following equation:

$$Y_t = \zeta + \int_t^\sigma f(s, Y_s, Z_s, V_s)\, ds - \int_t^\sigma Z_s\, dW_s + K_\sigma - K_t - \int_t^\sigma \int_U V_s(e)\nu(de, ds), \quad t\in[\tau,\sigma].$$

Next, since $Y^j \in D^j$ and $\delta(D_t^j, D_t) \le \frac{1}{j}$ then

$$\operatorname{dist}(Y_t^j, D_t) \le \operatorname{dist}(Y_t^j, D_t^j) + \frac{1}{j}.$$

Letting $j\to+\infty$, it follows that $Y^n \in D$.
By Fatou's Lemma and (3.32), we get by arguments already used that

$$\{\mathbb{E}\left(|K|_\tau^\sigma/\mathcal{F}_\tau\right), j\in\mathbb{N}\} \text{ is bounded in probability.} \tag{3.35}$$

It remains to show that, for every $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted càdlàg process X with values in D, the following relation holds

$$\int_\tau^\sigma \langle Y_s - X_s, dK_s\rangle \le 0. \tag{3.36}$$

Clearly, for every $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted càdlàg process X with values in D^j, it holds that

$$\langle Y_t^j - X_t, dK_t^j\rangle \le 0, \quad t\in[\tau,\sigma].$$

Hence, we have that $\int_\tau^\sigma \langle Y_t^j - X_t, dK_t^j\rangle \le 0$. We can easily show that, by arguments used previously that when letting $j \to +\infty$ we obtain that $X \in D$. Then, applying Lemma 5.8 in [3] when j goes to $+\infty$ yields that

$$\int_\tau^\sigma \langle Y_t^j - X_t, dK_t^j\rangle \to \int_\tau^\sigma \langle Y_t - X_t, dK_t\rangle.$$

Consequently, (3.36) follows. The proof of Theorem 3.6 is now complete. ■

Now, we are able to prove the main result of this section which is the existence of solution of RBSDE (2.4) in $\mathcal{D}$.

3.3 Proof of Theorem 3.1

We will first give the proof of existence and then the uniqueness one.

3.3.1 Existence

The proof of existence is performed in two steps. First we begin by proving the theorem under the additional assumption (3.29), then in the second step we show how to dispense with it.

Step 1. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{D_t;\, t \in [0,T]\} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $t \mapsto D_t$ is continuous with respect to the Hausdorff metric δ. We make the additional assumption (3.29).

Let us define, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{D_t^j;\, t \in [0,T]\}$ the discretization of $\{D_t;\, t \in [0,T]\}$ by setting

$$D_t^j = \begin{cases} D_{\sigma_{i-1}^j}, & t \in [\sigma_{i-1}^j, \sigma_i^j),\ i = 1, \ldots, k_j - 1, \\ D_{\sigma_{k_j}^j}, & t \in [\sigma_{k_j}^j, T]. \end{cases}$$

where

$$\sigma_0^j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_i^j = \left(\sigma_{i-1}^j + \frac{1}{j}\right) \wedge T, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By the continuity of $t \mapsto D_t$, we get that

$$\sup_{t \le \sigma_{k_j}^j} \delta(D_t^j, D_t) \to 0,\ \mathbb{P}\text{–a.s} \quad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty.$$

Thus, for every $\epsilon > 0$ letting j goes to infinity we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \le T} \delta(D_t^j, D_t) > \epsilon\right) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \le \sigma_{k_j}^j} \delta(D_t^j, D_t) > \epsilon\right) + \frac{1}{j} \to 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\sup_{t \le T} \delta(D_t^j, D_t) \to 0 \text{ in probability} \quad as\ j \mapsto \infty. \tag{3.37}$$

Using (3.37) and assumption $(H4)$, one can find a sufficiently decreasing sequence $\eta_j \downarrow 0$ such that the following sequence $\{\lambda_j\}$ defined by

$$\lambda_j = \inf\{t;\, \mathrm{dist}(A_t, \partial D^j_t) < \delta_j\} \wedge T, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

such that $\mathbb{P}(\lambda_j < T) \to 0$. By Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 3.6 for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a solution (Y^j, Z^j, V^j, K^j) of RBSDE in the stopped time-dependent region $\mathcal{D}^{j,\lambda_j} = \{D^{j,\lambda_j}_t = D^j_{t\wedge\lambda_j};\, t \in [0,T]\}$ with terminal value $\xi^j = \Pi_{D^j_{\lambda_j}}(\xi)$. Set $A^j_t = A^{\lambda_j}_t$, $t \in [0,T]$, and observe that $\inf_{t\le T} \mathrm{dist}(A^j_t, \partial D^j_t) \ge \delta_j > 0$. Since for any predictable locally bounded process H,

$$\left(\int_0^{\cdot} \langle H_s,\, dA^j_s\rangle\right)^*_T = \left(\int_0^{\cdot} \langle H_s,\, dA_s\rangle\right)^*_{\lambda_j},$$

it follows from Lemma 2.2 that there is $c > 0$ such that $\|A^j\|_{\mathcal{B}^2} \le c\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, by Lemma 3.2, there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\le t\le T} |Y^j_t|^2 + \int_0^T \|Z^j_s\|^2\, ds + \int_0^T \int_U |V^j_s(e)|^2 \lambda(e)(ds) + \int_0^T \mathrm{dist}(A^j_s, \partial D^j_s)\, d|K^j|_s\right] \\ \le\;& C\left[\mathbb{E}\left(|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0)|^2\, ds\right) + \|A\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^2}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

For every $\epsilon > 0$ there is $M > 0$, a stopping time $\sigma_j \le T$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $j \ge j_0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma_j < T) \le \epsilon, \quad |K^j|_{\sigma_j} \le M. \tag{3.38}$$

Indeed, by (H4) there is $\beta > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{t\le T} \mathrm{dist}(A_t, \partial D_t) \le \delta\right) \le \epsilon/4$. On the other hand, by (3.37), there is j_0 such that for $j \ge j_0$, $\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\le T} \delta(D^j_t, D_t) > \beta\right) \le \epsilon/4$. Therefore for every $j \ge j_0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{t\le T} \mathrm{dist}(A^j_t, \partial D^j_t) \le \beta\right) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{t\le T} \mathrm{dist}(A_t, \partial D_t) \le 2\beta\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\le T} \delta(D^j_t, D_t) > \beta\right) \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Set $c = C\big(\mathbb{E}(N^2 + \int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0)|^2\,ds) + \|A\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^2}\big)$, $M = (2c)/(\epsilon\beta)$ and $\sigma_j = \inf\{t; |K^j|_t > M\} \wedge T$. If we set also $\sigma = \sigma_j \wedge \sigma_{j+k} \wedge \lambda_j$, then by Lemma 3.3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t<\sigma} |Y^j_t - Y^{j+k}_t|^2 + \int_0^\sigma \|Z^j_s - Z^{j+k}_s\|^2\,ds + \int_U \int_0^\sigma |V^j_s(e) - V^{j+k}_s(e)|^2 \lambda(e)(ds)\right) \\
\le\ & C\left(\mathbb{E}(|Y^j_\sigma - Y^{j+k}_\sigma|^2 + \int_0^\sigma \delta(D^j_s, D^{j+k}_s)\,d(|K^j|_s + |K^{j+k}|_s)\right) \\
\le\ & C\left(\mathbb{E}|\xi^j - \xi^{j+k}|^2 + 2\epsilon N^2 + 2M \min\left(\sup_{s\le T} \delta(D^j_s, D^{j+k}_s), N\right)\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, $\lim\limits_{j\mapsto\infty} \sup\limits_k E|\xi^j - \xi^{j+k}|^2 = 0$ and by (3.37),

$$
\lim_{j\to\infty} \sup_k \mathbb{E} \min\left(\sup_{s\le T} \delta(D^j_s, D^{j+k}_s), N\right) = 0,
$$

it follows that $\{(Y^j, Z^j, V^j, K^j)\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{L}\times\mathcal{S}_c$. Its limit (Y,Z,V,K) is a solution of RBSDE (2.4).

Step 2. We will show how to dispense with assumption (3.29). Set $\lambda_j = \inf\left\{t \ge 0 : \sup\limits_{s\le t} |A_s| > N_j\right\} \wedge T$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $N_j \uparrow \infty$ and

$$
D^j_t = D^{\lambda_j}_t \cap B(A^{\lambda_j}_t, N_j), \quad t \in [0,T].
$$

Clearly $D^j_t \subset B(0, 2N_j)$ and $\mathbb{P}(\lambda_j < T) \le \mathbb{P}(\sup_{t\le T} |A_t| > N_j) \downarrow 0$. By Step 1 for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a solution (Y^j, Z^j, V^j, K^j) of RBSDE in $\{D^j_t;\, t \in [0,T]\}$ with terminal value $\xi^j = \Pi_{D^j_T}(\xi)$. Set $A^j_t = A^{\lambda_j}_t$, $t \in [0,T]$.

$$
A^j_t = \begin{cases} A_t, & \text{if } t < \tau_j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Since, by Lemma 2.2 there is $c > 0$ such that $\|A^j\|_{\mathcal{B}^2} \le c\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, using Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\le T} |Y^j_t|^2 + \int_0^T \|Z^j_s\|^2\,ds + \int_0^T \int_U |V^j_s(e)|^2 \lambda(e)(ds) + \int_0^T \operatorname{dist}(A^j_s, \partial D^j_s)\,d|K^j|_s\right) \\
\le\ & C\left(\mathbb{E}\left(|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0)|^2\,ds\right) + \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $\tau_{j,k} = \inf\{t; \sup_{s\le t} |Y^{j+k}_s| > 2N_j\} \wedge T$ for $j,k \in \mathbb{N}$ and observe that by Tschebyshev's inequality,

$$
\mathbb{P}(\tau_{j,k} < T) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\le T} |Y^{j+k}_t| > 2N_j\right) \le (2N_j)^{-2} C\left(\mathbb{E}\left(|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0)|^2\,ds\right) + \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}^2}\right),
$$

which implies that $\lim\limits_{j\to\infty} \sup\limits_{k} \mathbb{P}(\tau_{j,k} < T) = 0$. Applying Lemma 3.3 for the stopping time $\tau_{j,k}$ yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t<\tau_{j,k}} |Y_t^j - Y_t^{j+k}|^q + \int_0^{\tau_{j,k}} \|Z_s^j - Z_s^{j+k}\|^q \, ds + \int_0^{\tau_{j,k}} \int_U |V_s^j(e) - V_s^{j+k}(e)|^q \lambda(e)(ds) \right) \\
\leq \;\; & C\mathbb{E}\left(|Y^j_{\tau_{j,k}} - Y^{j+k}_{\tau_{j,k}}|^q + \int_0^{\tau_{j,k}} |\Pi_{D_s^j} Y_s^{j+k}) - Y_s^{j+k}| \, d|K^j|_s + \int_0^{\tau_{j,k}} |\Pi_{D_s^{j+k}}(Y_s^j) - Y_s^j| \, d|K^{j+k}|_s \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $Y_t^j \in D_t^{j+k}$ for $t \in [0,T]$ and $Y^{j+k} \in D_t^j$ for $t < \tau_{j,k}$, we deduce from (3.39) that for $q < 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t<\tau_{j,k}} |Y_t^j - Y^{j+k}|^q + \int_0^{\tau_{j,k}} \|Z_s^j - Z_s^{j+k}\|^q \, ds + \int_0^{\tau_{j,k}} \int_U |V_s^j(e) - V_s^{j+k}(e)|^q \lambda(e)(ds) \right) \\
\leq \;\; & C\mathbb{E}|Y^j_{\tau_{j,k}} - Y^{j+k}_{\tau_{j,k}}|^q \\
\leq \;\; & C\left(\mathbb{E}|\xi^j - \xi^{j+k}|^q \mathbb{1}\{\tau_{j,k} = T\} + E\left[|Y^j_{\tau_{j,k}} - Y^{j+k}_{\tau_{j,k}}|^q \mathbb{1}\{\tau_{j,k} < T\} \right] \right) \\
\leq \;\; & C\left(\mathbb{E}|\xi^j - \xi^{j+k}|^q + \left(E|Y^j_{\tau_{j,k}} - Y^{j+k}_{\tau_{j,k}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \left(\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{j,k} < T\} \right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the following holds for $q < 2$,

$$\lim_{j\mapsto\infty} \sup_k \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t<\sigma} |Y_t^j - Y_t^{j+k}|^q \right] = 0,$$

from which we deduce that $\{(Y^j, Z^j, V^j, K^j)\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{S}_c$. Using standard arguments, as in the proof of Theorem 3.6 one can show that its limit (Y, Z, V, K) is a solution of RBSDE (2.4). This ends the proof of the existence part. ■

Remark 1 Mimicking the same argumentation as in Step 2 of the above proof, one can dispense similarly with assumption (3.29) in the proof of Theorem 3.6. That is, one can show the existence of a unique solution of RBSDE (2.6) in $\mathcal{D}$ under only $(H1^*) - (H4^*)$. ■

Remark 2 As noticed in [8, Remark 3.9], one can use in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 3.1, stopping times σ_i^j defined as follows: For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma_0^j = 0$ and

$$\sigma_i^j = (\sigma_{i-1}^j + a_i^j) \wedge T, \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $a_i^j \in [\frac{1}{j}, \frac{2}{j}]$. This is due to the fact that, even if we use this sequence of stopping times to define the process $\mathcal{D}^j$, then convergence (3.37) still holds true. This remark will be used in Section 4. ■

Next, we will show that the solution of RBSDE in $\mathcal{D}$ is unique under assumptions (H1)–(H4) made on the data ξ, f and $\mathcal{D}$.

3.3.2 Uniquenesss

Let (Y, Z, V, K) and (Y', Z', V', K') be two solutions of RBSDE (2.4). Set, $\bar{Y} = Y - Y'$, $\bar{Z} = Z - Z'$, $\bar{V} = V - V'$ and $\bar{K} = K - K'$. Then applying Itô's formula to $|\bar{Y}_t|^2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\bar{Y}_t|^2 + \int_t^T \|\bar{Z}_s\|^2 ds + \int_t^T \int_U |\bar{V}_s|^2 p(de, ds) \\
= \;& 2\int_t^T \langle \bar{Y}_s, f(s, Y_s, Z_s, V_s) - f(s, Y'_s, Z'_s, V'_s)\rangle \, ds - 2\int_t^T \langle \bar{Y}_s, \bar{Z}_s \, dW_s\rangle \qquad (3.39) \\
& -2\int_t^T \int_U \langle \bar{Y}_{s-}, \bar{V}_s(e)\rangle \nu(de, ds) + 2\int_t^T \langle \bar{Y}_{s-}, d\bar{K}_s\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{K}_s$ is continuous, then it holds that:

$$\int_t^T \langle \bar{Y}_{s-}, d\bar{K}_s\rangle = \int_t^T \langle \bar{Y}_s, d\bar{K}_s\rangle, \quad \text{a.s.} \qquad (3.40)$$

On the other hand, inequality (2.5) leads to

$$\int_t^T \langle \bar{Y}_s, d\bar{K}_s\rangle \leq 0. \qquad (3.41)$$

Now, rearranging (3.39) in view of (3.40) and (3.41) then taking expectation leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}|\bar{Y}_t|^2 + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|\bar{Z}_s\|^2 ds + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \int_U |\bar{V}_s|^2 \lambda(de)(ds) \\
\leq \;& 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \langle \bar{Y}_s, f(s, Y_s, Z_s, V_s) - f(s, Y'_s, Z'_s, V'_s)\rangle \, ds,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\int_0^t \langle \bar{Y}_s, \bar{Z}_s \, dW_s\rangle$ and $\int_0^t \int_U \langle \bar{Y}_s, \bar{V}_s(e)\rangle \nu(de, ds)$ are uniformly integrable martingales. Next, using the Lipschitz property of f we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[|\bar{Y}_t|^2 + \int_t^T \|\bar{Z}_s\|^2 ds + \int_t^T \int_U |\bar{V}_s|^2 \lambda(de)(ds)\right] \\
\leq \;& 2C\mathbb{E}\int_t^T |\bar{Y}_s|(|\bar{Y}_s| + \|\bar{Z}_s\| + |\bar{V}_s|) \, ds \\
\leq \;& (2C + C\alpha^2 + C\beta^2)\mathbb{E}\int_t^T |\bar{Y}_s|^2 \, ds + \frac{C}{\alpha^2}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|\bar{Z}_s\|^2 ds + \frac{C}{\beta^2}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \int_U |\bar{V}_s|^2 \lambda(de)(ds),
\end{aligned}$$

where, α and β are nonnegative constants. Now, if we choose w.l.o.g that $\frac{C}{\alpha^2} = \frac{C}{\beta^2} = \frac{1}{2}$, then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[|\bar{Y}_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_t^T \|\bar{Z}_s\|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_t^T \int_U |\bar{V}_s|^2 \lambda(de)(ds)\right] \\
\leq \;\; & (2C + C\alpha^2 + C\beta^2)\mathbb{E}\int_t^T |\bar{Y}_s|^2 \, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Gronwall's lemma and the right continuity of $\bar{Y}_t$ we get that for every $t \in [0,T]$ $Y_t = Y'_t$. Consequently, we have also that for every $t \in [0,T]$ $Z_t = Z'_t$ and $V_t = V'_t$ a.s. Furthermore, by RBSDE (2.4) we deduce that for every $t \in [0,T]$ $K_t = K'_t$ a.s., whence the uniqueness of the solution of RBSDE (2.4). This completes the proof of the uniqueness part, as well as the proof of Theorem 3.1. ■

4 Approximation by penalization of solutions of RBSDE (2.4)

In this section we consider approximation of solutions of RBSDE (2.4) by the penalization method. The approximation scheme is defined as follows:
For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_t^n &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n)\, ds - \int_t^T Z_s^n \, dW_s \\
&\quad - \int_t^T \int_U V_s^n(e)\nu(de, ds) + K_T^n - K_t^n,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$K_t^n = -n\int_0^t (Y_s^n - \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n))\, ds, \quad t \in [0,T]. \tag{4.2}$$

Note that K^n is a continuous process of locally bounded variation. In fact, setting as in Lemma 2.4, some stopping times $\sigma_0 = 0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \ldots \leq \sigma_{k+1} = T$, and $D^0, D^1, \ldots, D^k$ some random closed convex subsets of $I\!R^m$ with nonempty interiors such that D^i is $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma_i}$-measurable. Then, on any interval $[\sigma^{i-1}, \sigma^i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, the triplet (Y^n, Z^n, V^n) is a solution of the classical BSDEs with Lipschitz coefficients of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_t^n &= \Pi_{D_{\sigma^{n,i}}}(Y_{\sigma^{n,i}}^n) + \int_t^{\sigma^{n,i}} f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n)\, ds - \int_t^{\sigma^{n,i}} Z_s^n \, dW_s \\
&\quad - \int_t^{\sigma^{n,i}} \int_U V_s^n(e)\nu(de, ds) - n\int_t^{\sigma^{n,i}} (Y_s^n - \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n))\, ds, \quad t \in [\sigma^{n,i-1}, \sigma^{n,i}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

First, we give precise estimates for solutions of the penalized BSDE (4.1). Then, we will tackle the problem of approximation of solutions of local RBSDEs of type (4.3), which is done in Subsection 5.2.

4.1 A priori estimate

Lemma 4.1 *Assume* (H1)–(H4). *If* (Y^n, Z^n, V^n, K^n) *is a solution of* (4.1) *such that* $Y^n \in \mathcal{S}^2$. *Then, there exists* $C > 0$ *depending only on the Lipschitz constants and* T *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\Bigg[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Y^n_t|^2 + \int_0^T \|Z^n_s\|^2\,ds + \int_0^T\int_U |V^n_s(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds) \\ &\qquad + \sup_{0\le t\le T}|K^n_t|^2 + \int_0^T \mathrm{dist}(A_s\,\partial D_s)\,d|K^n|_s\Bigg] \\ &\le\; C\left[\mathbb{E}\Big(|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0)|^2\,ds\Big) + \|A\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^2}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is obtained by repeating step by step arguments from the proof of Lemma 3.2. The only difference lay in obtaining a similar estimate of (3.5). To be more precise, we have to show that

$$\int_0^t \langle Y^n_s - A_s, dK^n_s\rangle \le -\int_0^t \mathrm{dist}(A_s, \partial D_s) d|K^n|_s.$$

Note that by Lemma 2.1 (c) combined with (4.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \langle Y^n_s - A_s, dK^n_s\rangle &= n\int_0^t \langle Y^n_s - A_s, (\Pi_D(Y^n_s) - Y^n_s)\rangle\,ds \\ &\le -n\int_0^t \mathrm{dist}(A_s, \partial D_s)|\Pi_D(Y^n_s) - Y^n_s| \\ &\le -\int_0^t \mathrm{dist}(A_s, \partial D_s) d|K^n|_s, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

which shows the desired result. ■

Let $\mathcal{D}' = \{D'_t, t \in [0,T]\}$ be another family of time-dependent convex domains with nonempty interiors satisfying (H4) with some semimartingale A'. Let us consider RBSDE in $\mathcal{D}'$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned} Y'^n_t &= \xi' + \int_t^T f(s, Y'^n_s, Z'^n_s, V'^n_s)\,ds - \int_t^T Z'^n_s\,dW_s \\ &\quad + K'^n_T - K'^n_t - \int_t^T\int_U V'^n_s(e)\nu(de, ds), \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$K'^n_t = -n\int_0^t (Y'^n_s - \Pi_{D'_s}(Y'^n_s))\,ds, \quad t \in [0,T]. \tag{4.6}$$

Lemma 4.2 *Let* (Y^n, Z^n, K^n), (Y'^n, Z'^n, K'^n) *be solutions of* (4.1) *and* (4.5), *respectively, such that* $Y^n, Y'^n \in \mathcal{S}^2$. *Set* $\bar{Y}^n = Y^n - Y'^n$, $\bar{Z}^n = Z^n - Z'^n$, $\bar{V}^n = V^n - V'^n$ *and* $\bar{K}^n = K^n - K'^n$. *If* f *satisfies* (H3) *then for every* $q \in (1, 2]$ *there exists a constant* $C > 0$ *depending only on the Lipschitz constant and* T *such that for every stopping time* σ *such that* $0 \leq \sigma \leq T$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t<\sigma}|\bar{Y}_t^n|^q + \int_0^\sigma |\bar{Y}_s^n|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{\bar{Y}_s^n\neq 0\}}\|\bar{Z}_s^n\|^2\,ds + \int_0^\sigma\int_U |\bar{Y}_{s-}^n|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{\bar{Y}_{s-}^n\neq 0\}}|\bar{V}_s^n(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds)\right)\\
\leq\ & C\mathbb{E}\Bigg(|\bar{Y}_\sigma^n|^q + \int_0^\sigma |\bar{Y}_s^n|^{q-2}|\Pi_{D_s}(\Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n)) - \Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n)|\,d|K^n|_s\\
&\qquad + \int_0^\sigma |\bar{Y}_s^n|^{q-2}|\Pi_{D'_s}(\Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n)) - \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n)|\,d|K'^n|_s\Bigg)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof can be obtained by repeating step by step the proof of Lemma 3.3 except for an analogue of (3.22) which is the only difference. In fact, it suffices to apply Corollary 2.1 to $|\bar{Y}^n|^q$ for $q \in (1, 2]$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\bar{Y}_{t\wedge\sigma}^n|^q + \frac{q(q-1)}{2}\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma |\bar{Y}_s^n|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{\bar{Y}_s^n\neq 0\}}\|\bar{Z}_s^n\|^2 ds + \frac{q(q-1)}{2}\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma\int_U |\bar{Y}_{s-}^n|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{\bar{Y}_{s-}^n\neq 0\}}|\bar{V}_s|^2 p(de,ds)\\
\leq\ & |\bar{Y}_\sigma^n|^q + q\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma |\bar{Y}_s^n|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_s^n), f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n) - f(s, Y_s'^n, Z_s'^n, V_s'^n)\rangle\,ds\\
&-q\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma |\bar{Y}_s^n|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_s^n), \bar{Z}_s^n\,dW_s\rangle - q\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma\int_U |\bar{Y}_{s-}^n|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_{s-}^n), \bar{V}_s^n(e)\rangle\nu(de,ds) \qquad (4.7)\\
&+q\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma |\bar{Y}_s^n|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_s^n), d\bar{K}_s^n\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that,

$$\int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma |\bar{Y}_s^n|^{q-1}\langle sgn(\bar{Y}_s^n), d\bar{K}_s^n\rangle = \int_{t\wedge\sigma}^\sigma |\bar{Y}_s^n|^{q-2}1\!\!1_{\{Y_s^n\neq Y_s'^n\}}\langle\bar{Y}_s^n, d\bar{K}_s^n\rangle. \qquad (4.8)$$

Now, we focus on the last term of the right hand side of the above inequality. Actually, we have to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\bar{Y}_s^n, d\bar{K}_s^n\rangle\\
\leq\ & |\Pi_{D_s}(\Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n)) - \Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n)|d|K^n|_s + |\Pi_{D'_s}(\Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n)) - \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n)|d|K'^n|_s.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that, the above estimate is an analogue of (3.22). To see this, we first observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\bar{Y}_s^n, d\bar{K}_s^n\rangle &= \underbrace{\langle\bar{Y}_s^n - \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n) + \Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n), d\bar{K}_s^n\rangle}_{\leq 0} + \langle\Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n) - \Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n), d\bar{K}_s^n\rangle\\
&\leq \langle\Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n) - \Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n), d\bar{K}_s^n\rangle, \qquad (4.9)
\end{aligned}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \bar{Y}_s^n - \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n) + \Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n), d\bar{K}_s^n \rangle \\
= \;& -n\langle \bar{Y}_s^n - \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n) + \Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n), \bar{Y}_s^n - \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n) + \Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n)\rangle \, ds \\
= \;& -n|\bar{Y}_s^n - \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n) + \Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n)|^2 \, ds \le 0.
\end{aligned}$$

But,

$$\langle \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n) - \Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n), d\bar{K}_s^n \rangle = \langle \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n) - \Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n), dK_s^n \rangle + \langle \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n) - \Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n), -dK_s'^n \rangle. \quad (4.10)$$

By Lemma 2.1(b),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n) - \Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n), dK_s^n \rangle \\
= \;& -n\langle \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n) - \Pi_{D_s}(\Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n)), Y_s^n - \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n)\rangle \, ds + \langle \Pi_{D_s}(\Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n)) - \Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n), dK_s^n \rangle \\
\le \;& \langle \Pi_{D_s}(\Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n)) - \Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n), dK_s^n \rangle. \qquad (4.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Treating $\langle \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n) - \Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n), -dK_s'^n \rangle$ similarly, then combining the estimate obtained together with (4.9), (4.10) as well as (4.11), we obtain the following relation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \bar{Y}_s^n, d\bar{K}_s^n \rangle \\
\le \;& |\Pi_{D_s}(\Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n)) - \Pi_{D'_s}(Y_s'^n)| d|K^n|_s + |\Pi_{D'_s}(\Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n)) - \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n)| d|K'^n|_s, \qquad (4.12)
\end{aligned}$$

which is the desired result. The details of the rest of the proof are left for the reader. ■

4.2 Local RBSDEs

In this subsection, we will approximate solutions of local RBSDE (2.6) by the penalized scheme defined below.

Let τ, σ be stopping times such that $0 \le \tau \le \sigma \le T$, and D an $\mathcal{F}_\tau$-measurable random convex set with nonempty interior and let $\zeta \in L^2$ be an $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$-measurable random variable. We consider equations of the form

$$Y_t^n = \zeta + \int_t^\sigma f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n, V_s^n)\, ds - \int_t^\sigma Z_s^n \, dW_s + K_\sigma^n - K_t^n - \int_t^\sigma \int_U V_s^n(e)\nu(de, ds), \quad t \in [\tau, \sigma], \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$K_t^n = -n \int_\tau^t (Y_s^n - \Pi_{D_s}(Y_s^n))\, ds, \quad t \in [\tau, \sigma]. \quad (4.14)$$

Next, we establish a priori estimates for the solutions of local BSDEs (4.13). We refrain from giving the proofs of the following Lemmas, since they can be obtained respectively by mimicking the same argumentation as in Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2.

Lemma 4.3 *Assume* (H1*)–(H4*) *hold. If* $(Y^n, Z^n, V^n, K^n - K^n_\tau)$ *is a solution of* (4.13) *such that* $\sup_{\tau\le t\le\sigma} |Y^n_t| \in L^2$ *then there exists* $C > 0$ *depending only on the Lipschitz constant and* T *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau\le t\le\sigma} |Y^n_t|^2 + \int_\tau^\sigma \|Z^n_s\|^2\,ds + \int_\tau^\sigma \int_U |V^n_s(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds) + \int_\tau^\sigma \operatorname{dist}(A_s, \partial D_s) d|K^n|_s/\mathcal{F}_\tau\right)\\ \le\ & C\mathbb{E}\left[|\zeta|^2 + |A|^2 + \int_\tau^\sigma |f(s,0,0,0)|^2 ds/\mathcal{F}_\tau\right].\end{aligned}$$

■

Let D' be another family of $\mathcal{F}_\tau$-measurable random convex set with nonempty interior and let $\zeta' \in L^2$ be an $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$-measurable random variable. We consider equations of the form

$$Y'^n_t = \zeta + \int_t^\sigma f(s, Y'^n_s, Z'^n_s, V'^n_s)\,ds - \int_t^\sigma Z'^n_s\,dW_s + K'^n_\sigma - K'^n_t - \int_t^\sigma \int_U V'^n_s(e)\nu(de, ds), \quad t \in [\tau, \sigma], \tag{4.15}$$

where

$$K'^n_t = -n \int_\tau^t (Y'^n_s - \Pi_{D'_s}(Y'^n_s))\,ds, \quad t \in [\tau, \sigma].$$

Lemma 4.4 *Let* (Y^n, Z^n, V^n, K^n), (Y'^n, Z'^n, V'^n, K'^n) *be solutions of* (4.13) *and* (4.15), *respectively, such that* $Y^n, Y'^n \in \mathcal{S}^2$. *Set* $\bar{Y}^n = Y^n - Y'^n$, $\bar{Z}^n = Z^n - Z'^n$, $\bar{V}^n = V^n - V'^n$ *and* $\bar{K}^n = K^n - K'^n$. *If* f *satisfies* (H3) *then there exists* $C > 0$ *depending only on the Lipschitz constant and* T *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau\le t\le\sigma} |\bar{Y}^n_t|^2 + \int_\tau^\sigma \|\bar{Z}^n_s\|^2\,ds + \int_\tau^\sigma \int_U |\bar{V}^n_s(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds)/\mathcal{F}_\tau\right)\\ \le\ & C\mathbb{E}\Bigg(|\bar{\xi}|^2 + \sup_{\tau\le t\le\sigma} |\Pi_{D_t}(\Pi_{D'_t}(Y'^n_t)) - \Pi_{D'_t}(Y'^n_t)|\,|K^n|^\sigma_\tau\\ &\qquad + \sup_{\tau\le t\le\sigma} |\Pi_{D'_t}(\Pi_{D_t}(Y^n_t)) - \Pi_{D_t}(Y^n_t)|\,|K'^n|^\sigma_\tau/\mathcal{F}_\tau\Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

■

Next, we state a result on approximation of local RBSDE (2.6) by the penalized BSDE (4.13).

Proposition 4.5 *Assume that* $(H1^*)-(H4^*)$ *and* (3.29) *are in force. Let* (Y^n, Z^n, V^n, K^n) *be solution of the penalized BSDE* (4.13), *and* (Y, Z, V, K) *the unique solution of the local RBSDE* (2.6). *Then the* *followings convergence hold*

$$\sup_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}|Y_t-Y_t^n|^2\to_{\mathbb{P}}0,\qquad \int_\tau^\sigma\|Z_s-Z_s^n\|^2\,ds\to_{\mathbb{P}}0,\qquad \int_\tau^\sigma\int_U|V_s(e)-V^n(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds)\to_{\mathbb{P}}0,\tag{4.16}$$

and

$$\sup_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}|K_t-K_t^n|^2\to_{\mathbb{P}}0.\tag{4.17}$$

Proof. To prove these convergence, we shall first consider the particular case where D is nonrandom, then we will treat the general case where this time D is a random time-dependent convex domain.

The particular Case: D is fixed and nonrandom.

We first assume that D is nonrandom, i.e. $D=G$, where G is some fixed convex set with nonempty interior. Set $g(s,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)=f(s,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)\mathbf{1}_{[0,\sigma[}(s)$. By [12, Theorem 2.1] there exists a solution (Y^n,Z^n,V^n,K^n) of the following RBSDE in G

$$Y_t^n=\zeta+\int_t^T g(s,Y_s^n,Z_s^n,V_s^n)\,ds-\int_t^T Z_s^n\,dW_s+K_T^n-K_t^n-\int_t^T\int_U V_s^n(e)\nu(de,ds),\quad t\in[0,T].\tag{4.18}$$

Moreover, (Y^n,Z^n,V^n,K^n) converge to (Y,Z,V,K) in $\mathcal{S}^2\times\mathcal{M}^{d,2}\times\mathcal{L}^2\times\mathcal{S}_c^2$ as n goes to ∞, where (Y,Z,V,K) is solution of the following RBSDE in G

$$Y_t=\zeta+\int_t^T g(s,Y_s,Z_s,V_s)\,ds-\int_t^T Z_s\,dW_s+K_T-K_t-\int_0^T\int_U V_s(e)\nu(de,ds),\quad t\in[0,T].$$

Furthermore, since $Y_t^n=Y_t=\zeta$, $Z_t^n=Z_t=0$, $V_t^n=V_t=0$ and $K_T^n=K_t^n$ for $t\ge\sigma$, it is clear that for any $\tau\le\sigma$ the triple $(Y^n,Z^n,V^n,K^n-K_\tau^n)$ is also a solution of the local RBSDE (4.13) on $[\tau,\sigma]$. We deduce also that (Y^n,Z^n,V^n,K^n) converge in $\mathcal{S}^2\times\mathcal{M}^{d,2}\times\mathcal{L}^2\times\mathcal{S}_c^2$ as n goes to ∞, to the solution of the local RBSDE on $[\tau,\sigma]$ and which has the following form

$$Y_t=\zeta+\int_t^\sigma f(s,Y_s,Z_s,V_s)\,ds-\int_t^\sigma Z_s\,dW_s+K_\sigma-K_t-\int_t^\sigma\int_U V_s(e)\nu(de,ds),\quad t\in[\tau,\sigma].$$

The general case: D is random and time-dependent.

It is well known that in the space $\mathcal{C}\cap B(0,N)$ there exists a countable dense set $\{G_1,G_2,\dots\}$ of convex polyhedrons such that $G_i\subset B(0,N)$, $i\in\mathbb{N}$. By what has already been proved for each $i\in\mathbb{N}$ there exists a solution (Y_i,Z_i,V_i,K_i) of the local RBSDE in G_i with terminal value $\zeta_i=\Pi_{G_i}(\zeta)$. Set $C_1^j=\{\rho(G_1,D)\le 1/j\}$ and

$$C_i^j=\{\rho(G_i,D)\le 1/j,\ \rho(G_1,D)>1/j,\dots,\rho(G_{i-1}^j,D)>1/j\},\quad i=2,3,\dots$$

Furthermore, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ set

$$\zeta^j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Pi_{G_i}(\zeta)\mathbf{1}_{C_i^j}, \quad D^j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i \mathbf{1}_{C_i^j}.$$

Since $C_i^j \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $(Y^j, Z^j, V^j, K^j) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(Y_i, Z_i, V_i, K_i)\mathbf{1}_{C_i^j}$ is a solution of the local RBSDE in D^j with terminal value ζ^j. Set

$$A^j = \begin{cases} A, & \text{if } \operatorname{dist}(A, \partial D) > 1/j, \\ a_i \in \operatorname{Int} G_i, & \text{if } \operatorname{dist}(A, \partial D) \le 1/j \text{ and } D^j = G_i,\ i \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

and observe that $|\zeta^j| \le N$ and $|A^j| \le N$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We will approximate (Y^n, Z^n, V^n, K^n) solution of RBSDE (4.13) in D by $(Y^{j,n}, Z^{j,n}, V^{j,n}, K^{j,n})$ solution of the following local BSDE on D^j

$$Y_t^{j,n} = \zeta + \int_t^\sigma f(s, Y_s^{j,n}, Z_s^{j,n}, V^{j,n})\, ds - \int_t^\sigma Z_s^{j,n}\, dW_s - \int_t^\sigma \int_U V_s(e)\nu(de, ds) + K_\sigma^{j,n} - K_t^{j,n}, \quad t \in [\tau, \sigma], \tag{4.19}$$

where

$$K_t^{j,n} = -n \int_\tau^t (Y_s^{j,n} - \Pi_{D_j}(Y_s^{j,n}))\, ds, \quad t \in [\tau, \sigma]. \tag{4.20}$$

By Lemma 4.3, we have the following estimates of the solutions

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau \le t \le \sigma} |Y_t^{j,n}|^2 + \int_\tau^\sigma \|Z_s^{j,n}\|^2\, ds + \int_\tau^\sigma \int_U |V_s^{j,n}(e)|^2 \lambda(e)(ds) \right) \\ \le\ & C\left[N^2 + \mathbb{E}\Big(\int_\tau^\sigma |f(s,0,0,0)|^2 ds / \mathcal{F}_\tau \Big) \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left(|K^{j,n}|_\tau^\sigma / \mathcal{F}_\tau\right) \le C \left(\inf_{\tau \le t \le \sigma} \operatorname{dist}(A_t^j, \partial D_t^j) \right)^{-1} \left[N^2 + \mathbb{E}\Big(\int_\tau^\sigma |f(s,0,0,0)|^2 ds / \mathcal{F}_\tau \Big) \right]. \tag{4.21}$$

Using the first part of the proof we have that, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(Y^{j,n}, Z^{j,n}, V^{j,n}, K^{j,n}) \to (Y^j, Z^j, V^j, K^j) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{S}_c \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{4.22}$$

where (Y^j, Z^j, V^j, K^j) is solution of the local RBSDE in D^j with terminal value ξ^j.
Since $\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{dist}(A, \partial D) > 1/j) \uparrow 1$ and $\operatorname{dist}(A^j, \partial D^j) > \operatorname{dist}(A, \partial D) - \frac{1}{j}$ if $\operatorname{dist}(A, \partial D) > \frac{1}{j}$, then

$$\{\mathbb{E}\left(|K^{j,n}|_\tau^\sigma / \mathcal{F}_\tau\right), j \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ is bounded in probability.} \tag{4.23}$$

By Lemma 4.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}|Y_t^n - Y_t^{j,n}|^2 + \int_\tau^\sigma \|Z_s^n - Z_s^{j,n}\|^2 ds + \int_\tau^\sigma \int_U |V_s^n(e) - V^{j,n}(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds)/\mathcal{F}_\tau\right) \\
\le\;& C\left[\mathbb{E}\Big(|\zeta - \zeta^j|^2/\mathcal{F}_\tau\Big) + \delta(D, D^j)\mathbb{E}\Big(|K^n|_\tau^\sigma + |K^{j,n}|_\tau^\sigma/\mathcal{F}_\tau\Big)\right] \\
\le\;& C\Big[\frac{4}{j^2} + \frac{2}{j}\mathbb{E}\Big(|K^n|_\tau^\sigma + |K^{j,n}|_\tau^\sigma/\mathcal{F}_\tau\Big)\Big],
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.24}
$$

since $|\zeta^j - \zeta^{j+i}| < \frac{2}{j}$ and $\delta(D^j, D^{j+i}) < \frac{2}{j}$. By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(|K^n|_\tau^\sigma/\mathcal{F}_\tau\right) \le C\left(\inf_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}\operatorname{dist}(A_t, \partial D_t)\right)^{-1}\left[N^2 + \mathbb{E}\Big(\int_\tau^\sigma |f(s,0,0,0)|^2 ds/\mathcal{F}_\tau\Big)\right]. \tag{4.25}
$$

Having in mind that, on the set $\{\operatorname{dist}(A, \partial D) > \frac{1}{j}\}$ we have that $\operatorname{dist}(A^j, \partial D^j) > \operatorname{dist}(A, \partial D) - \frac{1}{j}$. This implies that $\inf_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}\operatorname{dist}(A_t^j, \partial D_t^j)) > \inf_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}\operatorname{dist}(A_t, \partial D_t)) - \dfrac{1}{j}$. Then, combining the above with estimate (4.21) yields that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(|K^{j,n}|_\tau^\sigma/\mathcal{F}_\tau\right) \le C\left(\inf_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}\operatorname{dist}(A_t, \partial D_t) - \frac{1}{j}\right)^{-1}\left[N^2 + \mathbb{E}\Big(\int_\tau^\sigma |f(s,0,0,0)|^2 ds/\mathcal{F}_\tau\Big)\right]. \tag{4.26}
$$

Since $\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{dist}(A, \partial D) > 1/j) \uparrow 1$, then combining (4.24) together with (4.25) and (4.26) yields, for every $\epsilon > 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim_{j\mapsto\infty}\limsup_{n\mapsto\infty}\mathbb{P}\Bigg[E\Big(&\sup_{\tau\le t\le\sigma}|Y_t^n - Y_t^{j,n}|^2 + \int_\tau^\sigma \|Z_s^n - Z_s^{j,n}\|^2\, ds \\
&+ \int_\tau^\sigma \int_U |V_s^n(e) - V^{j,n}(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds)\,|\,\mathcal{F}_\tau\Big) \ge \varepsilon\Bigg] = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.27}
$$

On the other hand, noting that by Theorem 3.6 the following convergence holds

$$
(Y^j, Z^j, V^j, K^j) \to (Y, Z, V, K) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{L}\times\mathcal{S}_c \quad \text{as } j \mapsto \infty. \tag{4.28}
$$

Finally, using (4.22) and (4.27) as well as (4.28) ends the proof. ■

Proposition 4.6 *Assume* (H1*)–(H4*). *Let* $0 = \sigma_0 \le \sigma_1 \le \cdots \le \sigma_{k+1} = T$ *be stopping times and let* $D^0, D^1, \ldots, D^k$ *be random closed convex subsets in* $I\!\!R^m$ *such that* D^i *is* $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma_i}$*-measurable and there is* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $D^i \subset B(0,N)$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. *Let* (Y, Z, V, K) *be a unique solution of RBSDE* (2.4) *in* $\{D_t;\, t \in [0,T]\}$ *such that* $D_t = D^{i-1}$, $t \in [\sigma_{i-1}, \sigma_i[$, $i = 1, \ldots, k+1$, *and let* (Y^n, Z^n, V^n, K^n) *be a solution of* (4.1). *Then*

$$
(Y^n, Z^n, V^n, K^n) \to (Y, Z, V, K) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{L}\times\mathcal{S}_c.
$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.5 we have the following relations

$$\sup_{\sigma_k \le t \le T} |Y_t^n - Y_t| \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0, \quad \int_{\sigma_k}^T \|Z_s^n - Z_s\|^2 \, ds \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0, \quad \int_{\sigma_k}^T \int_U |V_s(e) - V^n(e)|^2 \lambda(e)(ds) \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0$$

and

$$\sup_{\sigma_k \le t \le T} \left| n \int_{\sigma_k}^t (Y_s^n - \Pi_{D^k}(Y_s^n)) \, ds - (K_t - K_{\sigma_k}) \right| \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0.$$

Since

$$Y_{\sigma_k}^n = \begin{cases} \Pi_{D^{k-1}}(Y_{\sigma_k}^n), & \text{if } \operatorname{dist}(D^k, D^{k-1}) > 1/n \, , n \ge N, \\ Y_{\sigma_k}^n, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

it is clear that $Y_{\sigma_k}^n \to_{\mathbb{P}} \Pi_{D^{k-1}}(Y_{\sigma_k}) = Y_{\sigma_k}$.
Similarly, if $Y_{\sigma_i}^n \to Y_{\sigma_i}$ for $i = k, k-1, \ldots, 1$ then by Proposition 4.5,

$$\sup_{\sigma_{i-1} \le t \le \sigma_i} |Y_t^n - Y_t| \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0, \quad \int_{\sigma_{i-1}}^{\sigma_i} \|Z_s^n - Z_s\|^2 \, ds \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0, \quad \int_{\sigma_{i-1}}^{\sigma_i} \int_U |V_s(e) - V^n(e)|^2 \lambda(e)(ds) \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0,$$

and

$$\sup_{\sigma_{i-1} \le t \le \sigma_i} \left| n \int_{\sigma_{i-1}}^t (Y_s^n - \Pi_{D^k}(Y_s^n)) \, ds - (K_t - K_{\sigma_{i-1}}) \right| \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0.$$

Consequently, $Y_{\sigma_{i-1}}^n \to_{\mathbb{P}} \Pi_{D^{i-2}}(Y_{\sigma_{i-1}}) = Y_{\sigma_{i-1}}$ for $i \ge 2$. Using backward induction completes the proof.

■

Next, we give the main result of this section.

4.3 Main result

Theorem 4.7 *Assume* (H1)–(H4). *Let* (Y^n, Z^n, V^n, K^n) *be a sequence of solutions of* (4.1), *and let* (Y, Z, V, K) *be the unique solution of RBSDE* (2.4). *Then, the following convergence holds*

$$(Y^n, Z^n, V^n, K^n) \to_{\mathbb{P}} (Y, Z, V, K) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{S}_c. \tag{4.29}$$

Proof. The proof is performed in two steps.
Step 1. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we first assume additionally that (3.29) is satisfied. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$ set $\sigma_{j,0} = 0$ and

$$\sigma_i^j = (\sigma_{i-1}^j + a_i^j) \wedge T, \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $a^j_i \in [\frac{1}{j}, \frac{2}{j}]$ is a constant chosen via the following procedure. Suppose that $\tau \equiv \sigma^j_{i-1}$ is such that $\tau + \frac{1}{j} < T$. By Lemma 4.1 there is $c > 0$ such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{2/j} \mathbb{E}(\mathrm{dist}(A_{\tau+s}, \partial D_{\tau+s})|Y^n_{\tau+s} - \Pi_{D_{\tau+s}}(Y^n_{\tau+s})|\,ds &\le \mathbb{E}\int_0^T \mathrm{dist}(A_s, \partial D_s)|Y^n_s - \Pi_{D_s}(Y^n_s)|\,ds \\ &\le cn^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can find $s \in [1/j, 2/j]$, which we denote by a^j_i, such that $\mathbb{E}(\mathrm{dist}(A_{\tau+s}, \partial D_{\tau+s})|Y^n_{\tau+s} - \Pi_{D_{\tau+s}}(Y^n_{\tau+s})| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Since $\mathrm{dist}(A_{\tau+s}, \partial D_{\tau+s}) > 0$, $Y^n_{\tau+s} - \Pi_{D_{\tau+s}}(Y^n_{\tau+s}) \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0$ for $s = a^j_i$. It follows that the stopping times σ^j_i have the property that

$$Y^n_{\sigma_{j,i-1}+a_{i,j}} - \Pi_{D_{\sigma^j_{i-1}+a^j_j}}(Y^n_{\sigma^j_{i-1}+a^j_j}) \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0, \quad j, i \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.30}$$

Now let us define $\mathcal{D}^j = \{D^j_t;\, t \in [0,T]\}, \xi^j, A^j = \{A^j_t;\, t \in [0,T]\}$ as in Step 1 of the proof Theorem 3.1, so we have $|\xi^j| \le N$ and $|A^j_t| \le N$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(Y^{j,n}, Z^{j,n}, K^{j,n})$ denote the solution of the BSDE

$$Y^{j,n}_t = \xi^j + \int_t^T f(s, Y^{j,n}_s, Z^{j,n}_s, V^{j,n}_s)\,ds - \int_t^T Z^{j,n}_s\,dW_s - \int_t^T \int_U V^{j,n}_s(e)\nu(de, ds) + K^{j,n}_T - K^{j,n}_t, \quad t \in [0,T], \tag{4.31}$$

where

$$K^{j,n}_t = -n\int_0^t (Y^{j,n}_s - \Pi_{D^j_s}(Y^{j,n}_s))\,ds, \quad t \in [0,T].$$

By Proposition 4.6, we deduce that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(Y^{j,n}, Z^{j,n}, V^{j,n}, K^{j,n}) \to (Y^j, Z^j, V^j, K^j) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{S}_c, \tag{4.32}$$

where (Y^j, Z^j, V^j, K^j) is a solution of RBSDE in $\mathcal{D}^j$ with terminal value ξ^j. By Lemma 4.1, there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that for $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\Bigg[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Y^{j,n}_t|^2 + \int_0^T \|Z^{j,n}_s\|^2\,ds + \int_0^T\int_U |V^{j,n}_s(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds) \\ &\qquad + \sup_{0\le t\le T}|K^{j,n}_t|^2 + \int_0^T \mathrm{dist}(A^j_s, \partial D^j_s)\,d|K^{j,n}|_s\Bigg] \\ &\le C\left[\mathbb{E}\Big(N^2 + \int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0)|^2\,ds\Big) + \|A\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^2}\right].\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we deduce that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $M > 0$, stopping times $\sigma_j^n \leq T$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $j \geq j_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma_j^n < T) \leq \epsilon, \quad |K^{j,n}|_{\sigma_j^n} \leq M. \tag{4.33}$$

Similarly, by Lemma 4.1 we show that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $M > 0$ and stopping times $\tau^n \leq T$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau^n < T) \leq \epsilon, \quad |K^n|_{\tau^n} \leq M. \tag{4.34}$$

Hence, using Lemma 4.2 for $\sigma = \sigma_j^n \wedge \tau^n$ and $q = 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t<\sigma_j^n\wedge\tau^n} |Y_t^{j,n} - Y_t^n|^2 + \int_0^{\sigma_j^n\wedge\tau^n} \|Z_s^{j,n} - Z_s^n\|^2\, ds + \int_0^{\sigma_j^n\wedge\tau^n}\int_U |V_s^n(e) - V^{j,n}(e)|^2 \lambda(e)(ds)\right)\\
\leq\ & C\left(\mathbb{E}(|Y_{\sigma_j^n\wedge\tau^n}^{j,n} - Y_{\sigma_j^n\wedge\tau^n}^n|^2 + \int_0^{\sigma_j^n\wedge\tau^n} \delta(D_s^j, D_s)\, d(|K^{j,n}|_s + |K^n|_s)\right)\\
\leq\ & C\mathbb{E}\left(|\xi^j - \xi|^2 + 2\epsilon N^2 + 2M \min\left(\sup_{s\leq T}\delta(D_s^j, D_s), N\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{j\to\infty}\sup_k \mathbb{E}|\xi^j - \xi|^2 = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\leq T}\delta(D_t^j, D_t) \to 0$ thanks to (3.29), (3.37) as well as Remark 2. Then, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{j\mapsto\infty}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}&\left(\sup_{\tau\leq t\leq\sigma_j^n\wedge\tau^n} |Y_t^n - Y_t^{j,n}|^2 + \int_\tau^{\sigma_j^n\wedge\tau^n} \|Z_s^n - Z_s^{j,n}\|^2\, ds\right.\\
&\left. + \int_\tau^{\sigma_j^n\wedge\tau^n}\int_U |V_s^n(e) - V^{j,n}(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds)\right) \leq 2C\epsilon N^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

Furthermore, by the Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Remark 2,

$$(Y^j, Z^j, V^j, K^j) \to_{\mathbb{P}} (Y, Z, V, K) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{L}\times\mathcal{S}_c, \tag{4.36}$$

where (Y, Z, V, K) is the unique solution of (2.4). Combining (4.32) with (4.35) and (4.36) we conclude that $(Y^n, Z^n, V^n, K^n) \to_{\mathbb{P}} (Y, Z, V, K)$ in $\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{L}\times\mathcal{S}_c$ under the additional assumption (3.29).

Step 2. In the general case we will use arguments from Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let N_j, λ_j, $\mathcal{D}^j = \{D_t^j;\, t\in[0,T]\}, \xi^j, A^j = \{A_t^j;\, t\in[0,T]\}$ be defined as in that step. Note that $D_t^j \subset B(0, 2N_j)$. Let $(Y^{j,n}, Z^{j,n}, K^{j,n})$ be a solution of (4.31). From the first part of the proof we know that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(Y^{j,n}, Z^{j,n}, V^{j,n}, K^{j,n}) \to (\tilde{Y}^j, \tilde{Z}^j, \tilde{V}^j, \tilde{K}^j) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{L}\times\mathcal{S}_c, \tag{4.37}$$

where $(\tilde{Y}^j, \tilde{Z}^j, \tilde{V}^j, \tilde{K}^j)$ is a solution of RBSDE in $\mathcal{D}^j$ with terminal value ξ^j. By Lemma 4.1, there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that for $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\Bigg[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Y_t^{j,n}|^2 + \int_0^T \|Z_s^{j,n}\|^2\, ds + \int_0^T\int_U |V_s^{j,n}(e)|^2\lambda(e)(ds) \\
&\qquad + \sup_{0\le t\le T}|K_t^{j,n}|^2 + \int_0^T \operatorname{dist}(A_s^j, \partial D_s^j)\, d|K^{j,n}|_s\Bigg] \\
\le\ & C\left[\mathbb{E}\left(N_j^2 + \int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0)|^2\, ds\right) + \|A\|^2_{\mathcal{B}^2}\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $\tau_{j,n} = \inf\{t; \sup_{s\le t}|Y_s^{j,n}| > 2N_j\}\wedge T$ for $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and observe that by Chebyshev's inequality,

$$
\mathbb{P}(\tau_{j,k} < T) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\le T}|Y_t^{j,n}| > 2N_j\right) \le (2N_j)^{-2}C\left(\mathbb{E}\left(|\xi^j|^2 + \int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0)|^2\, ds\right) + |\|A\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}\right),
$$

which implies that $\lim_{j\to\infty}\sup\limits_k \mathbb{P}(\tau_{j,n} < T) = 0$. Applying Lemma 3.3 for the stopping time $\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j$, yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t<\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j}|Y_t^n - Y_t^{j,n}|^q + \int_0^{\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j}\|Z_s^n - Z_s^{j,n}\|^q\, ds + \int_0^{\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j}\int_U |V_s^n(e) - V_s^{j,n}(e)|^q\lambda(e)(ds)\right) \\
\le\ & C\mathbb{E}\left(|Y^n_{\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j} - Y^{j,n}_{\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j}|^q + \int_0^{\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j}|\Pi_{D_s^j}Y_s^{j,n}) - Y_s^{j,n}|\, d|K^n|_s + \int_0^{\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j}|\Pi_{D_s^j}(Y_s^n) - Y_s^n|\, d|K^{j,n}|_s\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.38}
$$

Since $Y_t^n \in B(0, 2N_j)$ for $t < \tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j$, we may and will assume that $\mathcal{D}^{j,\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j} = \mathcal{D}^{\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j}$. Therefore, we deduce from (4.38) that for $q < 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t<\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j}|Y_t^n - Y^{j,n}|^q + \int_0^{\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j}\|Z_s^n - Z_s^{j,n}\|^q\, ds + \int_0^{\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j}\int_U |V_s^n(e) - V_s^{j,n}(e)|^q\lambda(e)(ds)\right) \\
\le\ & C\mathbb{E}|Y^n_{\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j} - Y^{j,n}_{\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j}|^q \\
\le\ & C\left(\mathbb{E}|\xi - \xi^j|^q\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j = T\}} + E\left[|Y^n_{\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j} - Y^{j,n}_{\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j}|^q\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j < T\}}\right]\right) \\
\le\ & C\left(\mathbb{E}|\xi - \xi^j|^q + E\left(|Y^n_{\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j} - Y^{j,n}_{\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j}|^2\right)^{\frac{q}{2}}\left(\mathbb{P}\left[\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j < T\right]\right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the following holds for $q < 2$

$$
\lim_{j\to\infty}\sup_n \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t<\tau_{j,n}\wedge\lambda_j}|Y_t^n - Y_t^{j,n}|^q\right] = 0.
$$

As a by product, we get that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le T} |Y^n_t - Y^{j,n}_t| + \int_0^T \|Z^n_s - Z^{j,n}_s\|^2\, ds + \int_0^T \int_U |V^n_s(e) - V^{j,n}(e)|^2 \lambda(e)(ds)\ > \varepsilon \Big) = 0. \tag{4.39}$$

On the other hand, note that by Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 3.1 the following convergence holds

$$(\tilde{Y}^j, \tilde{Z}^j, \tilde{V}^j, \tilde{K}^j) \to_{\mathbb{P}} (Y, Z, V, K) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{L}\times\mathcal{S}_c \quad \text{as } j\to\infty, \tag{4.40}$$

where (Y, Z, V, K) is the unique solution of RBSDE (2.4). Finally, using (4.39) and (4.37) together with (4.40) we deduce convergence (4.29), which completes the proof of Theorem 4.7. ■

References

[1] G. Barles, R. Buchdahn and E. Pardoux: Backward stochastic differential equations and integral-partial differential equations, *Stochastics and Stochastics Reports*, **60** (2002), 57–83.

[2] Ph. Briand, B. Delyon, Y. Hu, E. Pardoux, and L. Stoica: L^p solutions of backward stochastic differential equations, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, **108** (2003), (1),109–129.

[3] A. Gegout–Petit, É. Pardoux, Equations différentielles stochastiques rétrogrades réfléchies dans un convexe, *Stochastics Stochastics Rep.* **57**, 111–128, (1996).

[4] S. Hamadène and M. Hassani: BSDEs with two reflecting barriers driven by a Brownian and a Poisson noise and related Dynkin game, *Electonic Journal of Probability*, **11** (2006), 121–145.

[5] S. Hamadène and Y. Ouknine: Reflected Backward SDEs with General Jumps, *Theory of Probability and Its Applications*, (2013).

[6] S. Hamadène and H. Wang: BSDEs with two RCLL reflecting obstacles driven by Brownian motion and Poisson measure and a related mixed zero-sum game, *Stochastic Processes an their Applications*, **119** (2009), no. 9, 2881–2912.

[7] T. Klimsiak: BSDEs with monotone generator and two irregular reflecting barriers. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 137(3), (2013) 268–321.

[8] T. Klimsiak, A. Rozkosz and L. Słomiński: Reflected BSDEs in time–dependent convex regions, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spa.2014.09.013.

[9] T. Kruse and A. Popier: BSDEs with jumps in a general filtration, *Arxiv e–print:1412.4622* (2014).

[10] P. L. Lions and A. S. Sznitman: Stochastic differential equations with reflecting boundary conditions, *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **37**, (1984) 511-537.

[11] J.L. Menaldi, Stochastic variational inequality for reflected diffusion. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **32**, (1983) 733–744.

[12] Y. Ouknine: Reflected backward stochastic differential equations with jumps, *Stochastics and Stochastics Reports*, **65**, (1998) 111–125.

[13] Ph. Protter, Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag. Berlin Heidelberg 2005.

[14] H. Tanaka: Stochastic differential equations with reflecting boundary condition in convex regions, *Hiroshima Mathematical Journal* **9**, (1979) 163-177.